

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MEETING

December 4, 2003

Dago Mary's Restaurant
Hunters Point Shipyard, Building 916
Donahue Street at Hudson Avenue
San Francisco, California

Reported by Christine M. Niccoli, RPR, C.S.R. No. 4569

===== ***** =====

NICCOLI REPORTING

619 Pilgrim Drive

Foster City, CA 94404-1707

(650) 573-9339

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS SERVING THE BAY AREA

1 P A R T I C I P A N T S

2

3 FACILITATOR: MARSHA PENDERGRASS - Pendergrass &

4 Associates

5 CO-CHAIRS: KEITH FORMAN - United States Navy SWDIV

6 LYNNE BROWN - Communities for a Better

7 Environment (CBE), Community

8 First Coalition (CFC)

9

10

11 RAB MEMBERS

12

13 LANI ASHER - Communities for a Better Environment (CBE),

14 Community First Coalition (CFC)

15 AMY BROWNELL - San Francisco Department of Public Health

16 BARBARA BUSHNELL - R.O.S.E.S., resident

17 MAURICE CAMPBELL - Business Development, Inc. (BDI);

18 Community First Coalition (CFC); New California Media;

19 NEW BAYVIEW NEWSPAPER

20 CHARLES L. DACUS, SR. - Hunters Point resident,

21 R.O.S.E.S.

22 MARIE J. FRANKLIN - Shoreview Environmental Justice

23 Movement Inc.

24 MARIE HARRISON - Communities for a Better Environment

25 (CBE), SAN FRANCISCO BAY VIEW, Greenaction

1 RAB MEMBERS [Cont.]:

2

3 CHEIN KAO - California Department of Toxic Substances

4 Control (DTSC)

5 JACQUELINE ANN LANE - U.S. Environmental Protection

6 Agency (EPA)

7 LISA LAULU - A.I.G.A. 1

8 LEA LOIZOS - Arc Ecology

9 KEVYN D. LUTTON - Resident

10 JESSE MASON - Community First Coalition (CFC)

11 JULIE MENACK - San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality

12 Control Board

13 ALLEN NUNLEY JR. - Business owner, resident

14 KAREN G. PIERCE - Bayview Advocates, BVHP Democratic

15 Club, BVHP Health & Environmental Assessment Program

16 MELITA RINES - India Basin Neighborhood Association

17 SEALI'IMALIETOA SAM RIPLEY - Samoan American Media

18 Services

19 AHIMSA PORTER SUMCHAI - Bayview-Hunters Point Health &

20 Environmental Resource Center (HERC)

21 KEITH TISDELL - Hunters Point resident

22 RAYMOND TOMPKINS - Bayview-Hunters Point Coalition on

23 the Environment

24 LEILANI WRIGHT - JRM Associates

25 ---oOo---

1 OTHER ATTENDEES

2

3 ARVIND ACHARYA - Innovative Technical Solutions, Inc.

4 (I.T.S.I.)

5 ANDREW L. BOZEMAN - Southeast Sector Community

6 Development Corporation

7 PATRICK BROOKS - United States Navy

8 JIM BUNGER - Golden Gate Railroad Museum

9 LINDA CARLSEN - Maurice Campbell

10 GLENN CHRISTENSEN - United States Navy

11 FRANCISCO DA COSTA - Environmental Justice Advocacy

12 MARISSA FONG - Habitat for Humanity

13 MIGUEL GALARZA - Yerba Buena Engineering & Construction,

14 Inc.

15 MAPE GALUEGA - A.I.G.A. 1

16 BOB HOCKER - Lennar/Bayview-Hunters Point Team

17 CAROLYN HUNTER - Tetra Tech EM Inc.

18 PATRICK IOANE - A.I.G.A. 1

19 RONALD WM. KEICHLINE - Innovative Technical Solutions,

20 Inc. (I.T.S.I.)

21 LAFO LAULU - A.I.G.A. 1

22 DEBRA MOORE - Innovative Technical Solutions, Inc.

23 (I.T.S.I.)

24 SHERLINA NAGEER - Literacy for Environmental Justice

25 (LEJ)

1 OTHER ATTENDEES [Cont.]:

2

3 JOHN NAUER - A.I.G.A. 1

4 DANIELLE PACIFICO-COGAN - Office of Congresswoman Nancy

5 Pelosi

6 CHARLES H. PARDINI - Levine-Fricke for Lennar

7 JOSE PAYNE - United States Navy

8 DENNIS M. ROBINSON - Shaw Environmental &

9 Infrastructure, Inc.

10 DEBORAH BERMAN SANTANA - Mills College Ethnic Studies

11 Department

12 LEE H. SAUNDERS - United States Navy

13 CLIFTON J. SMITH - C.J. Smith & Associates, Eagle

14 Environmental Construction

15 PETER STROGANOFF - United States Navy ROICC Office

16 BILL VAOVASA - United Samoan Pentecostal

17 PETER VAOVASA - A.I.G.A. 1

18 JULIA VETROMILE - Tetra Tech EM Inc.

19 STEFANIE YOW - Office of Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

20 ---oOo---

1 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, DECEMBER 4, 2003

2 6:08 P.M.

3 ---oOo---

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Welcome, everybody, to the --

5 MR. ATTENDEE: Right now.

6 MS. PIERCE: Last meeting of the year.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- to the Thursday,
8 December 4th, Hunters Point Shipyard Restoration
9 Advisory Board meeting.

10 Is everybody at the right place? Nobody here
11 accidentally just for drinks and cocktails? We're not
12 here for that tonight.

13 All right. We're running just a little behind,
14 giving people a little bit of time to get here because
15 we do have weather issues. Okay?

16 And as always, we'll start with introductions
17 tonight. And just for those of you who are new, the way
18 we work this is that the RAB introduces themselves
19 first, and then the audience will introduce yourselves.
20 Please speak loudly, clearly, and spell your last name
21 if you have not been to a RAB meeting before so we can
22 capture that.

23 Second agenda item on that -- or housekeeping
24 item is: Make sure that you sign in if you're a RAB
25 member so that we have a record that you were here. If

1 you're an alternate, please let Mr. Keichline --
2 Mr. Keichline, would you raise your hand. Great --
3 would you let Mr. Keichline know if you are an alternate
4 for a sitting RAB member.
5 All right. I'm Marsha Pendergrass from
6 Pendergrass & Associates, and I am your facilitator this
7 evening.
8 And can we start here?
9 MS. FRANKLIN: I'm Marie J. Franklin, and I am
10 a member of the Hunters Point area and representing
11 Shoreview Environmental Justice Incorporated.
12 MR. BROWN: Lynne Brown, Community First
13 Coalition, co-chair.
14 MR. FORMAN: Keith Forman, BRAC Environmental
15 Coordinator for the base and co-chair.
16 MR. BROOKS: Pat Brooks, Navy lead RPM.
17 MR. CHRISTENSEN: Glenn Christensen, Navy,
18 Remedial Project Manager.
19 MS. MENACK: Julie Menack, Regional Water
20 Quality Control Board.
21 MR. DACUS: Charles L. Dacus, Sr., member of
22 ROSES and RAB. Happy holiday to everybody.
23 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.
24 MR. KAO: Chein Kao, State Department of Toxic
25 Substances Control.

1 MS. SUMCHAI: Ahimsa Sumchai, RAB member.

2 MR. DA COSTA: Francisco Da Costa, and I'm an
3 alternate for Georgia.

4 MR. NUNLEY: I'm Allen Nunley, and I'm a RAB
5 member.

6 MS. RINES: Melita Rines, RAB member.
7 Keith Tisdell, RAB member.

8 MR. KEICHLINE: Ronald Keichline, I.T.S.I.,
9 community relations.

10 MS. MOORE: Debra Moore, I.T.S.I. community
11 relations.

12 MR. CAMPBELL: Maurice Campbell, RAB member.

13 MS. ASHER: Lani Asher, Shipyard artist, RAB
14 member.

15 MS. LANE: Jackie Lane, community involvement,
16 EPA.

17 MS. PIERCE: Karen Pierce, Bayview Hunters
18 Point Democratic Club, RAB member.

19 MS. LUTTON: Kevyn Lutton, resident.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. We will start
21 with you, the audience.

22 MR. BOZEMAN: Thank you. Andrew Bozeman,
23 Southeast Sector Community Development Corporation.

24 MR. ROBINSON: Dennis Robinson, Shaw
25 Environmental, Inc.

1 MR. GALARZA: Miguel Galarza, Yerba Buena
2 Engineering.
3 MS. YOW: Stephanie Yow from Congresswoman
4 Nancy Pelosi's office.
5 MS. PACIFICO-COGAN: Danielle Pacifico-Cogan
6 from Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi's office.
7 MR. BUNGER: Jim Bunger, Golden Gate Railroad
8 Museum.
9 MS. SANTANA: Deborah Santana, Mills College,
10 Oakland, California.
11 MR. ACHARYA: Arvind Acharya, I.T.S.I.
12 MR. STROGANOFF: Peter Stroganoff from the Navy
13 ROICC office.
14 MR. PAYNE: Jose Payne, Navy Remedial Project
15 Manager.
16 MR. SMITH: Clifton Smith, Eagle Environmental
17 Construction.
18 MR. HOCKER: Bob Hocker, Lennar/BVHP.
19 MR. PARDINI: Chuck Pardini, LFR,
20 Levine-Fricke.
21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. We have a couple of
22 new RAB members that just arrived.
23 MS. BUSHNELL: Barbara Bushnell, RAB and ROSES.
24 MS. HARRISON: Marie Harrison, RAB.
25 Unfortunately, I'm going to have to leave in a few

1 minutes, so I'm going to have an alternate sit in for
2 the rest of the meeting for me.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

4 MS. HARRISON: And it's Dolores Santana.

5 MS. SANTANA: Deborah.

6 MS. HARRISON: Deborah. Her name is Deborah.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Very good.

8 All right. Our agenda tonight is going to be
9 just a little bit different. We're going to start out
10 with approving the minutes and have our normal co-chair
11 announcements, and then we're going to do subcommittee
12 reports and move into after the break having a
13 presentation.

14 In the essence of time tonight, we want to make
15 sure that the subcommittee reports are as concise as
16 possible so that we won't run over our allotted time.
17 And since this is our last meeting of this year, we want
18 to make sure that we do allow enough time at the end of
19 our meeting tonight to add any concerns, issues, or
20 things to be on agen- -- future agenda topics. So we
21 want to make sure we move through expediently tonight.

22 One of the ways to do that is to make sure that
23 we have all the written reports back on the table or
24 that they have been given to Mr. Keichline so that
25 everyone can get a copy, a written copy, of subcommittee

1 reports and secondly to not so much give a synopsis of
2 what happened at your meeting, but also put up any
3 moving action agenda items forward, so move those
4 motions forward right away so that we can call any vote
5 that's necessary at the subcommittee level.

6 All right. Let's approve the minutes. Has
7 everybody had a chance to review the minutes of our
8 October 23rd meeting? Are there any changes,
9 suggestions, comments on those minutes?

10 Yes.

11 MR. KAO: On the sixth page of last paragraph,
12 they referred me, "Chen Kao," to be "US EPA." Actually,
13 it should be DTSC.

14 MS. LANE: Line 40 as well.

15 MR. KAO: Line 37 and then line 40.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. All right.

17 Mr. Keichline, do you have that correction?

18 MR. KEICHLINE: Yeah, I'll make those changes.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

20 MR. KEICHLINE: Sorry about that.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Any other corrections or
22 comments?

23 All right. All in favor of these -- we need a
24 question regarding accepting these minutes.

25 MR. BROWN: Question?

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Would you call the question.
2 Can you state the question?
3 MR. BROWN: What?
4 MR. TISDELL: I make a motion.
5 MS. PIERCE: I move they be accepted as
6 corrected.
7 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Thank you.
8 All in favor?
9 THE BOARD: Aye.
10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Those opposed? Any
11 abstentions?
12 (No verbal response elicited.)
13 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Those minutes
14 have been approved with the -- with the corrections as
15 noted.
16 All right. Let's make sure we handle all of
17 our action items in the last -- from the last meeting.
18 The Navy was to report back to the RAB regarding
19 recommendations that air quality samplings be collected
20 for all future Hunters Point Shipyard fires. The Navy
21 was to respond to that.
22 Mr. Forman, do you remember that?
23 MR. FORMAN: Yes.
24 MR. BROOKS: We got kind of two parts, and I
25 followed up with our Navy -- Navy quality assurance

1 officer, and he told me that basically there's no
2 precedent within the Navy for collecting air samples
3 around fires, and he basically told me that -- well, he
4 told me a couple things.

5 One is that you may assume that if something is
6 burning, the smoke is toxic and that you should take
7 whatever precautions that you normally would in a fire,
8 which is stay out of smoke, go inside, close your
9 windows, that kind of thing.

10 And then he asked that we follow up with the
11 Air Quality Management District here in San Francisco
12 area, which Keith did, and he can report back on that.

13 MR. FORMAN: We also talked to the Federal Fire
14 Division, the FFD, chief; and again, there's really no
15 way to legitimately and constructively figure out a way
16 to monitor sampling from a fire as a momentary event
17 when you're on a fire engine. There's really no
18 equipment that does that, and there's nobody qualified
19 to do that in -- in that sense.

20 The better thing to do is actually going to
21 come to -- to be real here in a few weeks. So let me
22 briefly tell you what we found out. I think you'll be
23 pretty happy with the program of what's -- what's going
24 to occur here in the next few weeks, at the latest by
25 the beginning of January.

1 We contacted, as -- as Mr. Brooks said, the Bay
2 Area Air Quality Management District, BAAQMD, and the
3 Air Quality Management District is starting up a program
4 for this community. And that's a little different,
5 because it uses the technology that's available and the
6 best way available.

7 What they are going to do is: They have
8 something called a mobile van air monitoring station.
9 They are going to set up this air monitoring station at
10 100 Whitney Young Circle. Okay. That's going to be the
11 location of it.

12 MS. PIERCE: Is this the BACAMP project?

13 MR. FORMAN: Yes.

14 The title of the project is BACAMP,
15 Bayview-Hunters Point Air -- I'm sorry -- Community Air
16 Monitoring Program. Now, this project is going to start
17 and -- and it will be -- consist of monitoring for 12
18 consecutive months. They hope to have it up in
19 December. At the latest, it will be operational in
20 January.

21 The mobile air monitoring station will monitor
22 for 70 compounds in the air. Lab analysis will be done
23 once every six days. And the monitoring will include
24 air monitoring for heavy metals. In addition to that,
25 there will be a series -- there is an instrument that

1 does continuous monitoring that will be on the
2 monitoring station.

3 AQMD is ma- -- taking a further step of
4 developing a Web site. The Web site is not up yet, so
5 they did not have a Web site address, but they will
6 promulgate that; and we will also let you know when we
7 find out -- probably at next RAB -- when that Web site
8 is up. And they will be posting results to the Web
9 site.

10 The current point of contact for this that --
11 that I spoke to at the Air Quality Management District
12 was Eric Stevenson. Eric Stevenson's the chief of the
13 air monitoring division, and he also plays a role in
14 this BACAMP project. He can be reached at 415-749-4695.

15 And we can post that, Ron, somewhere.

16 He does recommend, though, please don't --
17 don't call him until the -- the program is up and
18 running. And that -- that should be, again, in the next
19 few weeks.

20 Then he will get out a Web site, and you'll be
21 able to see the monitoring progress, some of it close to
22 real time, of what some of the monitoring they get from
23 their -- their station at 100 Whitney -- Whitney Young
24 Circle.

25 From this they hope to learn a lot in the

1 next -- in the next 12 months. But during that time
2 period, we'll be able to interact with them and ask them
3 questions about what some of their findings are. We
4 certainly won't have to wait for a full year. And in
5 that -- in that regard, we hope to find out more than
6 they do now about air quality in this community.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Any questions
8 about that?

9 MS. SUMCHAI: I just had a response.

10 I had actually at the meeting last Friday at
11 Milton Meyer announced that CARB and the Department of
12 the Environment and Literacy for Environmental Justice
13 were working on the siting of permanent, stationary,
14 continuous air monitoring.

15 And at the start, it was my understanding that
16 this was going to be a permanent siting of an air
17 monitor. CARB throughout the state has picked some
18 sites, San Diego and Alameda County, where it set up
19 some permanent sites at schools and recreation centers
20 that I had hoped was going to be part of their plan for
21 Bayview-Hunters Point. There certainly is a lot of
22 justification for having one permanently sited.

23 So I hope that this is just a pilot and that
24 ultimately the data that is gathered will serve as
25 justification for the site of a permanent air monitor.

1 But it in my mind is not clear to me why there would be
2 a temporary, you know, air monitor here in this
3 community.

4 MR. FORMAN: Oh, because, I mean, it makes all
5 the sense in the world. You want to get the initial
6 funding to do it, and then they want to look at the
7 results and gauge what -- what the best case is.

8 I mean, this science, even though it is a
9 science, involves gauging what's the best
10 instrumentation, what's the best position to monitor.
11 The only way to do that is to have a pilot program.

12 And I thought it was actually a very great
13 attempt on their part to go 12 months and then during
14 the 12 months to step back continuously and say, "What
15 data are we getting?" and then "What does this data
16 really tell us?" you know. And they need that 12-month
17 period to gauge really the quality of the project.

18 MR. BROOKS: And Eric said they have a
19 permanent station on Arkansas Street.

20 MR. FORMAN: Yes.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Miss Pierce and then
22 Ms. Lutton.

23 MS. PIERCE: Well, Keith said most of what I
24 was going to say --

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Then --

1 MS. PIERCE: -- that they needed to -- they
2 need to make sure that the -- the position is the
3 correct position. Plus, the equipment is on loan for
4 one year, that there isn't any funding for permanent.

5 But then comment, since Ray Tompkins isn't here
6 and he's the one that was asking for the monitoring, I
7 don't -- he was aware of the BACAMP project when he made
8 that request. I don't think this is going to satisfy
9 what he was attempting to -- to get at.

10 A couple of reasons. One, the -- the site is
11 if we rely on all the expert information we have
12 received, is upwind from the Shipyard. So it's highly
13 unlikely that we would be monitoring any of the air
14 conditions on the Shipyard itself. So that's one major
15 thing.

16 And then the other thing is that beyond the
17 fact that we are aware that any fire that creates
18 smoke -- and all fire does -- is toxic, what we are
19 attempting to do is learn whether or not mixed within
20 that smoke there are chemicals that are not naturally
21 found in fires elsewhere in the neighborhood.

22 So we appreciate your comments, but I know
23 that's what Ray was trying to get to, and this doesn't
24 reach what his goal was.

25 MR. FORMAN: Okay. Well, unfortunately, yeah,

1 but our -- our research did conclude that there's just
2 no -- it's a good theoretical question, but there's no
3 feet-on-the-ground answer to it now. There's just no
4 way to do that.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Miss Lutton.

6 MS. LUTTON: Well, I wanted to ask also what --
7 you know, you said it was a science determining where to
8 put this thing. What -- what were the reasons that
9 caused them to choose 100 Whitney Young Circle?

10 MR. FORMAN: Why AQMD choose -- chose that?

11 MS. LUTTON: (Nods.)

12 MR. FORMAN: I don't know. We could find out
13 more by, you know, asking AQMD. Perhaps at a
14 subcommittee meeting, we could have the spokes people
15 for AQMD, who know about BACAMP, talk about it. I think
16 that's the best thing, because they would know more
17 about the project. They are pretty excited about the
18 project, and it's been a long time in the making, I
19 believe.

20 But I don't know the -- obviously, they didn't
21 just randomly pick that. They had a reason why the --
22 they thought that location was a good one for the final
23 project. We should probably invite them to come and
24 speak to us in the future.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. I'm going to -- I'm

1 going to close the discussion on this particular action
2 item at this time, and perhaps we can add this to the
3 agenda to -- as an agenda item to be more fully
4 discussed with the right people here to talk about it.

5 I mean, if someone would like to add that at the end, we
6 can do that.

7 Can we kind of keep track of that, Ron? Okay.

8 MS. SUMCHAI: Well, let me just answer the
9 question. If people can get input that answers
10 questions, I think it should be allowed.

11 There's a process by which LEJ, CARB, and DOE
12 participated in this questionnaire asking people which
13 sites they wanted to have the -- you know, the station
14 sited at. And there were some popular sites, Third and
15 Palou, Whitney Young Circle, Milton Meyer.

16 And the decision was based on Senator Martha
17 Escutia's Senate Bill 25, the Children's Environmental
18 Protection Act, this landmark legislation that was
19 passed in this state.

20 And as a result of this legislation, there was
21 funding that was allocated for pilot projects by the
22 CARB to site these permanent air monitors in communities
23 where the incidence of childhood asthma was really,
24 really high. And that's why, you know, communities in
25 San Diego and Alameda County were, you know, selected.

1 So, you know, that forms part of the justification.

2 But again, you know, my -- my concern is that
3 there is already a compendium of data from this
4 community that shows that the air is not normal, you
5 know, with ambient testing. And I -- I'm just not
6 really sure why it's necessary to go forward with a
7 pilot project except that there may be some issues with
8 regard to the ideal, you know, site for -- for testing.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Dr. Sumchai, thank you for
10 that addition and clarification. However, that just
11 underscores the need to have this fully explored and
12 discussed as an agenda topic item.

13 If we can move to -- the second action item
14 was: Navy to ensure that 1998 Basewide Environmental
15 Baseline Survey was available to the RAB. Has that
16 happened?

17 MR. FORMAN: Yes.

18 MR. KEICHLINE: To my knowledge, that's not in
19 the library yet.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: It's not in the library yet.

21 Are we going to use this as a continuation
22 item, then?

23 MR. BROWN: Right.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: You're going to leave it on
25 the action item list until it's actually in the library?

1 MR. BROWN: Yes.

2 MS. RAB MEMBER: Yes.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

4 MR. FORMAN: Well, yeah, can I --?

5 Ron, we went through the library last night.

6 MR. KEICHLINE: Right. The new EBS, the '8- --

7 '98 EBS that Mike Work was mentioning at a previous RAB

8 meeting, that was not in the library. It's not in the

9 administrative record either. So . . .

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Does someone need to find it?

11 MR. FORMAN: The Basewide Environmental -- or

12 the EBS, the basewide EBS, is there. It's just the date

13 is 1996.

14 MR. KEICHLINE: Well, the motion at the RAB

15 meeting was for a 1998 EBS.

16 MR. FORMAN: The motion was for the document.

17 The year -- Apparently, someone misspoke on the year.

18 Yeah. It should have '96, and it is there in the

19 library now. We --

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

21 MR. FORMAN: Mr. Brooks and I confirmed that

22 after the subcommittee meeting last night.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So we just want

24 to go on record that that has been satisfied. It is in

25 the library, but it's a 1996. There is no 1998 EBS.

1 Okay?

2 Number 3: The SFRA to provide Marie Harrison
3 with a copy of the lease agreement document. Did that
4 happen?

5 MS. HARRISON: Yes.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Very fine.

7 And the new items were: Navy to provide
8 information to Mr. Mason regarding additional bonding or
9 retroactive waste hauling certifications, if any. Did
10 that happen?

11 MR. BROOKS: Laurie Lowman will be here in
12 January, and she will report on it then --

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

14 MR. BROOKS: -- at the January RAB meeting.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So that will stay
16 on an action item but to be due in January? Is that
17 acceptable?

18 And then final, "Economic Subcommittee to
19 prepare a letter in support of Section 2912, Defense
20 Authorization Act, establishing preference for local
21 businesses." And Mr. Campbell?

22 MR. CAMPBELL: It is -- it is my understanding
23 that all contracts that are going out from this point
24 forth or actually earlier from this point forth, because
25 our last communication was in November; and so based on

1 that, it was going to be incorporated into all future
2 contracts. So we understand that has been incorporated.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: So that has been ha- -- that
4 is already done and satisfied, then?

5 MR. CAMPBELL: I haven't seen it incorporated.
6 I've been told it has been incorporated.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Campbell --

8 MR. CAMPBELL: I'd like to make that
9 distinction.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So who's responsible,
11 Mr. Campbell, for making sure that this information is
12 brought to the RAB?

13 MR. CAMPBELL: The -- the person would be
14 Chon -- I'm sorry -- Chon Son at this point. And Chon
15 was being replaced by two other people, which we will
16 get into in the Economic Committee report.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So is this a
18 carry-over item, or is this item satisfied, or does it
19 just fall off?

20 MR. CAMPBELL: Well, I believe I would like to
21 have it in writing.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: So it's a carry-over.

23 MS. RAB MEMBER: Carry-over.

24 MR. RAB MEMBER: Carry-over.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. We will leave it

1 as action item until it's resolved. So, Mr. Campbell,
2 next month we'll be looking to you again for resolution
3 of this item. All right?

4 MR. CAMPBELL: That's correct.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Very fine.

6 All right. We're going to move along. We are
7 running just a little long already.

8 Mr. Forman, do you have any announcements at
9 this time?

10 (At 6:30 p.m. Mr. Mason arrives.)

11 MR. FORMAN: We had -- No. I think everything
12 I'll say will be during the presentation.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

14 Mr. Brown, do you have anything to add tonight?

15 MR. BROWN: Okay. Yes.

16 I like to say that the Disposition Development
17 Agreement was accepted, and the commissioner -- the
18 redevelopment commissioner has voted 5 to 0 that they
19 accept Lennar's Disposition Development Agreement. And
20 also, they gave them another extension on the ENA, the
21 Executive Negotiation Agreement.

22 But with -- with that happening, the
23 redevelopment will happen in the Shipyard, but everybody
24 on the southeast side will be dislocated, you know, and
25 they going to bulldoze and tear down our houses. So we

1 won't be able to reap the benefits of the Shipyard.

2 So right here I have this to pass around,
3 and -- would you pass it down.

4 MR. BROOKS: Sure.

5 MR. BROWN: And I say we have evidence that
6 show the crime is taking place regarding the Shipyard
7 deal.

8 We are going to alert the State Attorney
9 General's Office, the United States Department of
10 Justice, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation. We
11 will ask them to investigate the Hunters Point PAC, the
12 San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, and the Lennar deal
13 as a criminal fraud concocted through a criminal
14 conspiracy. And we expect the results of this
15 investigation will require some of the conspirators to
16 serve hard time.

17 Any questions? We only can discuss it. But
18 next month we're going to vote on it. We only can
19 discuss it.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Discuss what now?

21 MR. BROWN: This.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

23 MS. FRANKLIN: I had a question.

24 I wanted to know --

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can you stand up and speak a

1 little louder? Miss Franklin. I'm sorry.

2 MS. FRANKLIN: I have a question I wanted to
3 know. Mr. Brown, you said, "We." Is that --? Does
4 that mean the RAB?

5 MR. BROWN: Yes.

6 MS. FRANKLIN: Okay. Very good. Thank you.

7 MR. BROWN: We have the power to stop the
8 transfer. We have the power to stop the transfer.

9 MS. FRANKLIN: Okay. So we're going to have
10 special meetings about this issue sometime?

11 MR. BROWN: Yes, we can go over it.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Anybody have comments about
13 this or . . . ?

14 Yes.

15 MS. PACIFICO-COGAN: I have a question. I
16 haven't seen the document yet, but since the open
17 bidding process has already gone through --

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can you identify who you're
19 speaking on behalf of?

20 MS. PACIFICO-COGAN: I am -- My name is
21 Danielle Pacifico-Cogan, and I work for Congresswoman
22 Nancy Pelosi, and I have a question of clarification.

23 Mr. Brown said that he believes that there was
24 some criminal action taken by people who were involved
25 in the Shipyard project. And I was just wondering

1 what -- the documentation that you're using, I haven't
2 had a chance to review it yet, but I'm kind of -- I'm
3 wondering outside of the fact that there was an open
4 bidding process.

5 MR. BROWN: No open bidding.

6 MS. PACIFICO-COGAN: There was no -- okay. So
7 that's my question, essentially. Was there an open
8 bidding process?

9 MR. BROWN: No.

10 MS. PACIFICO-COGAN: Okay. Thank you.

11 MR. BROWN: No, there wasn't.

12 MS. FRANKLIN: Yes, I would like to comment on
13 that. My name is Marie Franklin. I would like to
14 comment, madam from the senator's office.

15 Yes, one of the reasons that I disagree as a
16 community member is because the area that they are
17 incorporating right now is -- was the earlier RAB -- not
18 RAB -- an earlier PAC that was set together back in the
19 '70s and early '60s about the Redevelopment Agency, who
20 did not complete the work. The work was shoddy.

21 And that particular group that they sold the
22 property to, the -- the violation has been -- because
23 according to the contract, there's been breach of
24 contract. The Redevelopment has sat on its behind for
25 20 years when they knew that this community said that

1 that was done and that the violations were made. So
2 that is what we have been talking about for years.

3 So that is the great injustice, because the
4 Redevelopment Agency does not adhere to the contract. A
5 legal contract has been violated about this land.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you, ma'am.

7 MR. BROWN: Also, the Base Closure Act has been
8 violated. NEPA has been violated. The E.R.A. has been
9 vio- -- EIR -- excuse me -- has been violated, because
10 the EIR that Planning Commission done, it wasn't any
11 hazardous impacts at Bayview-Hunters Point Shipyard, the
12 Navy Shipyard. Only impact they talked about was at
13 Cesar Chavez and Third Street, and that was about
14 transportation.

15 They didn't say -- excuse me. They didn't say
16 anything about the landfill. They didn't say anything
17 about cesium, radium, or anything. So we can talk about
18 it.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Now -- now, Mr. Brown, this
20 is your time for announcements, and you -- you've made
21 this announcement at this point. However, this is
22 really not enough time to discuss this --

23 MR. BROWN: Right.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- this particular topic in
25 depth, and you're -- and you're looking --

1 MR. BROWN: Right.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- to have some kind of
3 action on this topic --

4 MR. BROWN: Right.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- by next RAB meeting.

6 So I -- I need to make a suggestion that this
7 be delegated as a -- to a subcommittee for a discussion.
8 Which committee would that be?

9 Which subcommittee wants to house this
10 conversation?

11 MS. LOIZOS: I suggest that we --

12 MR. BROWN: Okay.

13 MS. LOIZOS: -- form a separate subcommittee
14 for this.

15 MR. BROWN: Right.

16 MS. LOIZOS: I don't think it necessarily falls
17 under anybody's --

18 MR. ATTENDEE: Right.

19 MR. ATTENDEE: Right.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: You want a s- -- you want a
21 special call meeting?

22 MR. FORMAN: Ad hoc.

23 MS. FRANKLIN: Right, conditional.

24 MR. BROWN: Yeah.

25 MS. PIERCE: So moved.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So we have a motion on
2 the floor to add a special call meeting --
3 MR. RAB MEMBER: I second that.
4 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- between now and the next
5 RAB meeting to discuss the topic of the protest and
6 objections of the Hunters Point Shipyard --
7 MS. PIERCE: DDA.
8 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- DDA. Okay.
9 All in favor? Did we get a second? I'm sorry.
10 MR. RAB MEMBER: I second it.
11 MS. PENDERGRASS: All in favor?
12 THE BOARD: Aye.
13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Anybody opposed? Anybody
14 abstaining from that?
15 (No verbal response elicited.)
16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Very fine.
17 So, Mr. Brown, you will advise Mr. Keichline so
18 he can get out the date --
19 MR. BROWN: Right.
20 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- and place of that meeting
21 as soon --
22 MR. BROWN: Right.
23 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- as possible?
24 Okay. Very fine. And if at all -- if
25 necessary, you would need to contact Mr. Keichline to

1 put that on the agenda --

2 MR. BROWN: Right. Okay.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- to make sure that there's

4 space on the agenda next month to talk about that. If

5 you're actually going to vote on something --

6 MR. BROWN: Okay.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- there needs to be a motion

8 and discussion.

9 Very good. Thank you.

10 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: All rightie, then. That's

12 the end of your -- Mr. Tisdell.

13 MR. TISDELL: Yes.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Do you have something germane

15 to the motion that was just passed?

16 MR. DeMARS: No. I like to make announcement.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. We're not at that

18 point yet. So if you can just give me a moment. Thank

19 you.

20 MR. TISDELL: Okay.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: At this point, we are going

22 to -- Mr. Brown, have you finished with your

23 announce- --

24 MR. BROWN: Yes.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- -ments?

1 Thank you.

2 At this point, we are going to do subcommittee
3 reports.

4 And Mr. Tisdell, would you like to start those
5 subcommittee reports?

6 MS. RINES: Okay.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Ms. Rines, you are going to
8 do that?

9 MS. RINES: We had a Membership Bylaws
10 Community outreach -- Outreach meeting last night, so we
11 don't have the notes printed up; but we will get them
12 done, and we'll have them e-mailed.

13 Basically, Don Capobres was there. He went
14 over the action items that needed to be touched on
15 concerning helicopter landings and the lease agreement.

16 The lease agreement has not been completed. So
17 he didn't have anything to bring to us with the term
18 sheet. That should be next month's RAB, hopefully.
19 Actually, he will probably come to our subcommittee
20 meeting first before it gets to the RAB. So next month
21 you might want to come by our subcommittee meeting for
22 that.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Do you have a date for that
24 meeting?

25 MS. RINES: Yes. That will be January 13,

1 which is the second Tuesday.

2 Also, we -- Keith Forman and Sherlina from LEJ
3 were going to work with the unified school district
4 notification system to get the school pulled into it.
5 They are working on that.

6 And also, the most important thing is: We have
7 two new RAB applicants that were approved in the
8 subcommittee. They are Sam Ripley and Lisa Lolu . . .

9 MR. ATTENDEE: -- -lu.

10 MS. ATTENDEE: Lulu.

11 MS. RINES: I tried really hard to get it, and
12 I got it wrong.

13 Okay. We unanimously voted to bring them
14 forward to be approved for RAB applicants to go on the
15 Board. So we need to -- we have a motion to approve
16 both of them. We have to do them each one at a time
17 because that's how we do it, each one.

18 So we have to -- we have a motion to approve
19 Sam Ripley to be on the Board.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So --

21 MS. RINES: So we need to vote that now.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: So say the motion.

23 MR. TISDELL: I make a motion, then.

24 MS. RINES: Say it.

25 MR. TISDELL: I make a motion to accept --

1 accept Sam Ripley as a RAB member.

2 MS. PIERCE: Stand up, Sam.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right, made a motion to

4 accept Sam Ripley as a RAB member.

5 MS. RINES: I second the motion.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: We have a second on that. We

7 need a second on that from someone else.

8 MR. DACUS: Second it.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. I'm calling the

10 question. All in favor?

11 THE BOARD: Aye.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Anybody opposed? Any

13 abstentions from that?

14 (No verbal response elicited.)

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. We have a new RAB

16 member.

17 Please come to the table.

18 (Applause.)

19 MR. BROWN: Sam.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: And, Mr. Ripley, would you

21 like to say something? You have, like, a minute and a

22 half, thirty seconds.

23 MR. RIPLEY: I just wanted to say thank you for

24 having me to be part of this important meeting and also

25 to listen to all communities. So I am here to --

1 willing to serve and willing to work.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

3 (Applause.)

4 MR. BROWN: All right, Sam.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Second, Miss Rines?

6 MS. RINES: And we have another motion to have

7 Lisa --

8 MS. ATTENDEE: Laulu.

9 MR. ATTENDEE: Laulu.

10 MS. RINES: Okay -- approved as a RAB member.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

12 MS. PIERCE: So moved.

13 MR. TISDELL: Second.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Second. All -- all in favor

15 of accepting Miss --

16 THE BOARD: Aye.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm sorry. I messed up that

18 name. Can you stay it one more time?

19 MS. LAULU: Laulu.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Laulu.

21 Okay. All in favor, say, "Aye."

22 THE BOARD: Aye.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Any opposed? Any abstentions

24 on that vote?

25 (No verbal response elicited.)

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. We have a new RAB
2 member.
3 (Applause.)
4 MR. BROWN: Lisa.
5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Take a seat at the table.
6 Okay. All right. And you have thirty seconds if you'd
7 like to say something.
8 MS. LAULU: I just want to echo what Mr. Sam
9 Ripley said.
10 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.
11 MS. LAULU: And thank you very much.
12 (Applause.)
13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Just want to make a point.
14 I -- I'm sure they are redundant, but just to put it on
15 record that they have been given the bylaws and
16 understand their -- their role and all of that, right?
17 MS. RINES: Yes.
18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Very good. Miss
19 Rines, you want to continue your report?
20 MS. RINES: That's it.
21 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Very fine.
22 The next committee would be Economic
23 Development.
24 MR. CAMPBELL: That's it for the Economic
25 Development. We had our meeting on 11/17/03. We were

1 expecting Navy personnel; but for some reason, it seems
2 like there was a communication breakdown.

3 And we wanted to know the status of the local
4 contracts, the status of the BRAC local contract, which
5 is to be included in future RFP contracts, and the
6 current status of local contract participation in dollar
7 amounts.

8 It went a little further than that in the sense
9 that last year, December, we were looking in the total
10 number of dollars that were expended within the local
11 community. And we wanted a specific answer.

12 Page 2 shows some of the responses. We're
13 adding \$43,000 more to CTO 005 [sic], which is ERRG. We
14 just awarded 2.3 to TPA-CKY to implement Total Petroleum
15 Hydrocarbon Corrective Action. We're going to add more
16 funds to CTO 002, Basewide Tech Support Services. And
17 we're coordinating with CDM Federal Program for a
18 basewide groundwater sampling service.

19 And they also talked about the goats in Parcel
20 A, basically, and it was Goats R A -- Goats R Us was the
21 Native American-Indian woman-owned small business from
22 the Bay Area.

23 And so we're still expecting answers, and we
24 hope at the next Economic meeting, specifically we are
25 requesting the economic participants from your end to be

1 there and that we have clear communications on that.

2 Thank you.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Did we have a date for the
4 next meeting?

5 MR. CAMPBELL: I can give it to you in a couple
6 seconds.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Well, we want to make
8 sure we put it up there.

9 And do we have the one for the Membership &
10 Bylaws Committee? Do we have the time on that?

11 MS. RINES: 6:00 to 8:00.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: 6:00 to 8:00. All right.
13 Very fine.

14 MS. RINES: Library.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: If we could move along to the
16 Technical Review.

17 MR. FORMAN: Maurice?

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excuse me. Do we have a
19 sidebar conversation going on here?

20 MR. BROOKS: Yeah, we do.

21 Maurice, I just have a point of clarification.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

23 MR. BROOKS: I thank you for the proper way to
24 do it. Mark Gelsinger will be the new lead contract
25 specialist for Hunters Point. His telephone number is

1 area code 619-532-0922. And if you just contact him
2 directly, then we can clear up these communication
3 breakdowns.

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay, but I'd like the request
5 formally from the BRAC administration to request him to
6 come out to the next Economic meeting. I will give you
7 a date for that very, very shortly.

8 MR. BROOKS: Okay. Fine.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. It needs to be
10 cleared through --

11 MR. BROWN: May I say something?

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- through you.

13 MR. BROWN: May I say something?

14 MR. BROOKS: It always is.

15 MR. BROWN: I thought Mark and the other lady
16 was going to -- one -- either one of them was going to
17 come up here and sit down on the RAB, because that's
18 what they said at the fair. Okay. Just could you clear
19 that up?

20 MR. FORMAN: Yeah. Yeah. And I'm not -- yeah.
21 We can talk about that later. I'm not sure why a
22 contract specialist would always be here. I mean, he
23 can be here by special invitation for something
24 important.

25 MR. CAMPBELL: We can make it January 13th at

1 2:30 at the Anna Waden library.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: January 13th, 2:30. Is that
3 the end of your report?

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, it was.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you --

6 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- so much.

8 Let's see. Who are we missing? Risk Review?

9 MS. LOIZOS: Well, okay. It's Technical
10 Review.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm sorry.

12 MS. LOIZOS: That's okay.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Don't you guys combine?

14 MS. LOIZOS: Sometimes we have, yeah. I'm
15 sorry.

16 The last meeting was very brief, and we -- I
17 didn't bother to type up minutes. We just kind of had
18 an informal discussion reviewing the NCP and the use of
19 remove -- use of removal actions when they are
20 appropriate and how it's written in the NCP.

21 I don't have a date for our next meeting
22 because I have to talk to Keith and Pat, but I'll let
23 you know that before the end of the meeting.

24 But what I do have is a petition that I'm
25 presenting -- that we are presenting to the Department

1 of the Navy.

2 Basically, when it was discovered that there
3 were former NRDL buildings at the current Mariner's
4 Village site, a lot of concern was raised. People --
5 We learned that, in fact, not only those buildings, but
6 all of the other formal -- former NRDL buildings that
7 are now on FUDS property that are not FUDS property,
8 like Building 815, 820, never received any sort of
9 con- -- clearance for chemical contaminants.

10 And so a petition was taken around many of the
11 neighborhoods in the Bayview area, especially Mariner's
12 Village; and we have I don't know how many signatures
13 here, but tons and tons, requesting that a preliminary
14 assessment site inspection site investigation be done on
15 those sites. So I'm going to pass that on to the Navy.

16 MR. TISDELL: Can I see that?

17 MS. LOIZOS: Can you see it? You've seen it,
18 haven't you? You can pass it around if you want to if
19 people want to read it.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Now, I'm not -- I'm just
21 going to ask about the -- the process here, procedural
22 process.

23 As a subcommittee, you all have -- have a
24 document that you would like to pass on to the Navy.
25 And the process you've circumvented the full RAB.

1 So the process would be: subcommittee, full
2 RAB, then to the Navy. Otherwise, you're circumventing
3 the -- the full RAB.

4 MS. LOIZOS: Okay. Yeah, I can make a motion.
5 It's -- Actually, it's not even just from the RAB.
6 It's just -- like I said, this is a petition from the
7 Sa- -- Bayview-Hunters Point residents and employee --
8 people who work in the area. So it's from the full
9 community, not just the RAB.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

11 MS. LOIZOS: But we can make a motion that the
12 RAB accept the petition as well.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: You have to -- If you have
14 the RAB name on it, it has --

15 MS. LOIZOS: It -- Yeah, it doesn't have the
16 RAB name on it, actually. It's just --

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: So, then, that's -- that's --
18 then that's not a RAB kind of business type of thing.
19 I'm sorry. I --

20 MS. LOIZOS: Okay. I can make it a RAB thing.
21 I'm sorry. I guess I forgot about the process. I
22 don't -- So what should I do?

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. The process is, is
24 that you will have a document. You're working with a
25 group of people in the community. It's something --

1 You brought something as a subcommittee. Then you need
2 to explain what you brought, explain it to the -- to the
3 RAB.

4 Any document needs to be disseminated to the
5 full RAB and then a motion that they want to send -- you
6 know, an agreement that they want to send that on to the
7 Navy. The full RAB has to agree to that. Okay?

8 Does anybody have any questions about that
9 process or what have you?

10 Yes.

11 MS. BUSHNELL: And the full RAB needs it at
12 least a week before the RAB meeting.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Exactly.

14 MS. LOIZOS: Right. You know -- and I'm sorry.
15 I don't know that the --

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes, go ahead.

17 MS. LOIZOS: Yeah. I'm sorry. I apologize if
18 I brought it up in the wrong forum.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

20 MS. LOIZOS: I just -- but I don't think this
21 needs to be from the RAB. It's from the whole
22 community. And I -- so I'm to take it outside of the
23 RAB, and I apologize if I included that.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: So it's just an announcement,
25 then --

1 MS. LOIZOS: Yes.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- that this is happening.

3 Okay. That makes -- That's different --

4 MR. FORMAN: So then should I -- should I just

5 take this back to my manager --

6 MS. LOIZOS: Your boss, I guess, yes.

7 MR. FORMAN: -- as a separate item outside the

8 RAB and just --?

9 MS. LOIZOS: Yeah. I mean, it -- it pertains

10 to the cleanup of Hunters Point Shipyard and --

11 MR. FORMAN: Okay.

12 MS. LOIZOS: -- but it's not necessarily from

13 the RAB, I guess.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: But -- but it would make

15 sense, though, since you're sitting on the RAB and

16 you're representing that constituency to the RAB that

17 these kinds of documents and -- and kind of, you know,

18 motions would actually be brought to the RAB. The RAB

19 is in full agreement that this is something they want

20 the Navy to act on. I mean, that would have more of a

21 collected voice to it. I mean, that's really the

22 process and --

23 MS. LOIZOS: I -- I guess I don't understand

24 why the RAB has to approve a petition from the whole

25 community.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: It doesn't. It doesn't.

2 MR. KEICHLINE: It shouldn't come through the

3 RAB.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: It shouldn't.

5 MS. LOIZOS: That, I understand, and I

6 apologize, I guess. But that was sort of -- I was

7 using -- I do think the RAB would like to know that this

8 whole -- whole community -- that, you know, hundreds of

9 people in this community have signed a petition; and I

10 was just sort of, you know, letting people know.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Okay.

12 MR. FORMAN: Lea, what I would recommend too --

13 MS. LOIZOS: Yeah.

14 MR. FORMAN: -- I mean, we could -- we can take

15 this up, but the proper perspective for this is: It's a

16 question that's going to be called into effect when --

17 in January the draft final HRA is coming out, right?

18 You should be commenting on that and so should the

19 community.

20 When you comment on the document, all of the

21 sites are going to be listed, and what RASO says about

22 what they found and whether it's impacted or not we'll

23 have to read through that document together, and then

24 you should comment on these buildings in the document.

25 MS. LOIZOS: So does the HRA address property

1 that's no longer on the Shipyard that is now a FUDS
2 property? That's -- We're talking about FUDS property.

3 MR. FORMAN: Yes. It directs -- It makes
4 classifications like this should be a FUDS site --

5 MS. LOIZOS: Right. Okay.

6 MR. FORMAN: -- just like in the draft.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

8 MR. FORMAN: Only this time, yeah. So what I
9 would recommend is: Submit your comments to us and to
10 Laurie Lowman when the draft Historical Radiological
11 Assessment comes out at the end of January.

12 MS. LOIZOS: Right, okay.

13 MR. FORMAN: And she'll be here for that too.
14 So you'll also get a chance to directly interact with
15 her on D19 and 20.

16 MS. LOIZOS: Right.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: But there needs to be some
18 clarification, though. Are you representing --? Are
19 you reading and making comments on behalf of the RAB
20 and/or comments on behalf of another --

21 MS. LOIZOS: Right.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- community constituency,
23 which they -- they're -- they're exclusive, so they can
24 be separate, but you would be expected to as a
25 subcommittee talk about your recommendations and -- and

1 forward those recommendations to the full RAB before
2 they are moved on.

3 MS. LOIZOS: Yeah. That, I understand.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

5 MS. LOIZOS: I -- I would just like to make a
6 clarification that the HRA is going to deal primarily
7 with radiological issues. And the point is that the
8 FUDS sites were never tested for chemical contamination.
9 And that's what we're more concerned about, because
10 often the two are found in conjunction.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

12 MS. LOIZOS: So that we are just asking that a
13 preliminary assessment be done to look and see if
14 there's any potential other contamination aside from
15 radiological.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Thank you very
17 much for that. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to add
18 confusion on that.

19 MS. LOIZOS: No, no. That's okay.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: We do have another -- we do
21 have another report. Dr. Sumchai?

22 MS. SUMCHAI: The Radiological Subcommittee
23 didn't meet this month. We will meet in January. I'm
24 proposing the fourth Wednesday in January before the RAB
25 meeting from 6 to 8 p.m. at the Green House.

1 And contingent upon whether or not the HRA is
2 released, we may have an opportunity to do a partial
3 review on the -- on the draft final.

4 I also wanted to just take a minute of your
5 time to introduce Sherlina Nageer, who is with Literacy
6 for Environmental Justice Superfund Schools Project.
7 She is here, as was her predecessor, Shoshannah
8 Bramlett, who I introduced a couple months ago, to
9 upgrade the Community Notification Plan.

10 She has been meeting some very impressive
11 people in the community to make sure that the public
12 schools and schools in the private sector are
13 incorporated in Community Notification Plan.

14 I also wanted to say very quickly, when we were
15 talking about the air monitoring site designation, one
16 of the points that I failed to make was that because of
17 Senator Escutia's chosen Environmental Health &
18 Protection Act, the site has to be at a school or
19 recreation center. It's to target toxins that
20 disproportionately impacts kids. So maybe Sherlina
21 could say hi to us.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Do you know what time your
23 meeting's going to be?

24 MS. SUMCHAI: 6:00 to 8:00.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: 6:00 to 8:00. All right.

1 Very good.

2 Okay. At this point, we are going to take a
3 break and come back and have a presentation. So ten
4 minutes. If we can get back here at 7:05 would be
5 great.

6 (Recess 6:53 p.m. to 7:03 p.m. when
7 Mr. Tompkins joins the meeting.)

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: We need to call the meeting
9 back to order, please. If everybody can take your
10 seats. We are now waiting for -- or waiting for the
11 presentation by the -- presentation by the Navy:
12 Mr. Brooks, Mr. Christensen, and Mr. Forman.

13 MR. BROOKS: Need a slide projector person.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Is Mr. Tisdell still here?

15 MS. RINES: Yes.

16 MS. SANTANA: He's outside.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Are we ready?

18 MR. CHRISTENSEN: We are just about ready here.

19 Good evening, everyone. We've got a
20 presentation on basewide groundwater monitoring at
21 Hunters Point for the new coming year and got a
22 PowerPoint presentation to go through here, kind of give
23 you a brief overview.

24 MR. FORMAN: Yes. Let's see here.

25 Okay. While we work on that, the presentation

1 is about the Hunters Point basewide groundwater
2 monitoring program. It's very important to the Navy,
3 and we have been working with the BRAC cleanup team
4 regulators.

5 We are still a ways away from completing
6 finalizing it; and as you'll see in the presentation, we
7 haven't -- we have got a -- a couple things to do with
8 the regulators and -- before we have a plan that comes
9 out for you and the regulators to review.

10 But this is a -- a very important project for
11 the Navy. It's something we are rather proud of. We --
12 we don't talk about it much, but we -- we do tend to
13 focus on things like the landfill and other sites
14 that -- and speak mostly in terms of the soil
15 contamination at the base.

16 But in addition to that, there's groundwater,
17 and there are groundwater sites at the base that we work
18 on. And to my knowledge, this will be the first time
19 that Hunters Point Shipyard actually has a -- an
20 integrated coordinated comprehensive basewide
21 groundwater monitoring program. And it's been quite a
22 while in the making.

23 We are pretty proud of it, because for the
24 first time, we're going to be doing groundwater
25 monitoring on a -- a regular stated basis, not just in

1 Parcel B where we have a Record of Decision, but across
2 the base and the other parcels.

3 And Glenn Christensen, one of our newer project
4 managers, has spent much of his time since he's been on
5 the team working on this project. And that's something
6 that we wanted to talk to you about today and introduce
7 you to for future discussion.

8 Okay. And included in this presentation,
9 you'll see at least for us some pretty nifty graphics
10 tonight. So I'd like your input later on on that to see
11 if we have gotten too fancy or we ought to step it up
12 some more.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: You are going to have to move
14 out of the way.

15 MS. PIERCE: While you're moving, can I just
16 say for eyes that are over 50, thank you for blowing up
17 the type.

18 MR. FORMAN: Oh, okay. Well, I'll have to give
19 that -- that credit to the I.T.S.I. crew and the Tetra
20 Tech crew that does all the marvelous preparation.

21 So you want us to continue to do that?

22 MS. PIERCE: Yes.

23 MR. FORMAN: Good.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

25 MR. FORMAN: Okay. Okay. Let's start with

1 just an overview of what we're going to talk about. We
2 are going to talk about the groundwater monitoring
3 program.

4 And what that looks at is something called the
5 hydro, for water, hydrogeology at Hunters Point
6 Shipyard. And what that does is: It gives us a picture
7 of what goes on beneath the ground surface, not at the
8 layer of the earth's crust once you start digging into
9 just the soil, but it gives you the picture of the
10 groundwater and what's going on with the groundwater
11 beneath the surface of Hunters Point Shipyard.

12 Very important, because some contaminants have
13 reached the groundwater at Hunters Point Shipyard, and
14 we need to know more about them.

15 So we'll go into the purpose of monitoring
16 groundwater, why we do it, and some of the things we do
17 about it.

18 And then we'll talk to you about some of the
19 details of what we think the 2004 program is going to
20 look like in terms of the number of monitoring wells we
21 are going to monitor and what we are -- where we're
22 going to monitor and what we are going to sample and
23 test for, which is very important, and a couple of other
24 things we are going to do with the program other than
25 testing that will give us more information, more than we

1 have ever had before and on a more regular basis.

2 Okay. Okay.

3 MR. BROOKS: Okay. You know, we're going to do
4 this kind of in tandem here with each of us taking a few
5 slides.

6 But I've a voice enough for this.

7 We have three water-bearing units on the
8 Shipyard, and we have given these water-bearing units
9 names. The shallowest water-bearing unit, we call it
10 the A-aquifer, and the A-aquifer is mostly artificial
11 fill. And so because it is mostly artificial fill, then
12 the particle sizes, they range from the biggest boulders
13 to the smallest clay and very variable. The thickness
14 is about 20 to 40 feet in this water-bearing unit.

15 Separating the A-aquifer from the B-aquifer is
16 what we call an aquitard, and it's called an aquitard
17 because it retards the movement of the water between
18 these two aquifers. This -- this aquitard unit, which
19 we also call the bay mud, has a very low permeability.
20 And so it retards movement of water between these two
21 aquifers where it's present.

22 Now, you can see it's -- it's either, say,
23 between zero and a hundred feet thick. So that means
24 that in some places, it's not present.

25 B-aquifer, same thing. In some places, it's

1 not present; but where it is, it's made up of sand,
2 silt, and clay; and this is a more permeable
3 water-bearing unit.

4 Beneath the B-aquifer is what we call the
5 basement rock. It's the bedrock that you see out here
6 on the hillsides, the serpentinite, the shale, and some
7 sandstone, some green stone, stuff like that. And
8 again, it usually has a pretty low permeability and
9 doesn't yield that much water.

10 Next slide. Yeah, the fancy drawing.

11 Okay. Here's the -- Here's a little graphic
12 of Parcel E. This is -- again, it's kind of a cartoon
13 of what the water-bearing units look like.

14 So we got to turn on the information here.
15 This is Parcel E again. Here's -- here's the south
16 basin. It's going to rock up here for you and . . .

17 MR. BROWN: All right.

18 (Applause.)

19 MR. BROOKS: All right. Let's come back
20 around, and I'll show you -- okay. Freeze it there.

21 This purple unit is the A-aquifer, and then we
22 have the aquitard that separates the "A" from the
23 B-aquifer here in the yellow, and then it's kind of
24 greenish gray. That's our basement rock, or
25 serpentinite bedrock. Okay.

1 MS. SANTANA: Excuse me. What -- what's the
2 direction are we looking at here?

3 MR. FORMAN: This is Parcel E.

4 MR. BROOKS: This is all Parcel E and here's
5 South Basin.

6 MS. SANTANA: Oh.

7 MR. BROOKS: Now we're separating those units.
8 When we did the -- We have some estimates of the
9 permeability. But just in relative terms again,
10 A-aquifer, relatively low permeability, doesn't yield
11 that much water. The bay mud, almost a hundred times
12 lower in permeability and then restricts the movement of
13 water between the A-aquifer and the B-aquifer.

14 If you can see this 20 feet per day here in
15 this B-aquifer, in a lot of places, the B-aquifer is
16 very permeable. It's not the case everywhere; but in
17 many places, it is. Over on Parcel E, it's a -- it's a
18 good permeable aquifer.

19 And then beneath it, again, another low
20 permeable unit. This is our bedrock water-bearing zone.
21 It has low permeability. And we are monitoring
22 groundwater conditions in each of these -- these
23 water-bearing units.

24 Okay. You can stand here.

25 MR. CHRISTENSEN: I want to talk a little bit

1 about the -- the purpose of the groundwater monitoring.

2 What we are really trying to do here is to evaluate the

3 impact of all the prior industrial operations which

4 happened out on the Shipyard over time.

5 MR. BROOKS: Can everybody hear? Everybody

6 hear?

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes.

8 MR. BROOKS: Excellent.

9 MR. CHRISTENSEN: We have 500 monitoring wells
10 out on the base, and those were installed approximately
11 about over a 14-year time period.

12 What we are looking for, we're monitoring
13 contaminants through sample collection out at about 230
14 of those 500 wells, and we are going to have those
15 consistently sampled on a quarterly basis.

16 And when we collect that -- After we collect
17 the sample, we run a lab analysis for the specific
18 contaminants, and those are specific and pertain to the
19 industrial operations that occurred in those parti- --
20 particular areas.

21 We also monitor water levels, and we gauge
22 groundwater levels in each of the wells; and that gives
23 us a good idea about flow direction. That's important
24 because then we can track where the groundwater plumes
25 that we already know of in which direction they are

1 traveling to and give us much more background -- better
2 background information on those.

3 So a little more on the purpose, we're -- we're
4 establishing consistent data for the feasibility studies
5 that will be implemented in each of these areas, and
6 we're determining areas of concern and namely to protect
7 human health and the bay.

8 So some -- some of these areas the groundwater,
9 very -- very close to the bay where we have
10 contamination, we are concerned about that.

11 We're tracking known areas of groundwater
12 contamination, and we are really focusing our efforts
13 on -- on areas where we found contamination in the past.

14 We are further evaluating detections of other
15 organic chemicals and other metals, and this gives us
16 a -- this is, like Keith says, the first real effort to
17 get it geographically cover the whole Shipyard. So this
18 is the first program that covers Parcels B, C, D, and E.

19 So we're going to start the program next year,
20 2004. We're going to be doing quarterly monitoring
21 every -- every three months on those about 230 wells,
22 and about 320 wells we're going to be looking at
23 groundwater levels. This is the first coordinated
24 effort to do quarterly sampling in these areas.

25 Parcel B where -- we are not making any changes

1 because we are in the fifth year of the current RAMP.
2 So that monitoring has been consistently done in the
3 past four years, and that will -- there won't be any
4 changes to that parcel at this time. And the whole RAMP
5 Parcel B will be reevaluated after the next year.

6 There's some other previous sampling
7 investigations that occurred out at Hunters Point. We
8 have a preliminary assessment and site investigation.
9 It's about 1989 to 1991. Remedial investigations from
10 1991 to 1995. Specific studies in support of
11 remediation strategies. And the latest was this data
12 gaps investigation, and that was done in -- in -- in
13 three separate phases over 2000, 2001, and 2002.

14 This gives you a kind of a shot of the whole
15 base and the different parcels. And it's a little hard
16 to see, but the green dots are all the monitoring wells
17 on the base. So this -- under this program, we are
18 going to be looking at B, C, D, and E.

19 We said it is the first coordinated basewide
20 groundwater monitoring program for those four parcels,
21 and it's about 230 wells.

22 Contract should be awarded early next year, and
23 we're going to be looking at using local labor to help
24 the sampling crews when they are out at the field.

25 MR. DA COSTA: Why not Parcel A?

1 MR. CHRISTENSEN: Excuse me?

2 MR. DA COSTA: Why not Parcel A?

3 MR. CHRISTENSEN: Parcel A has a

4 no-further-action ROD. We have completed all our

5 investigations on that parcel, and sampling is no longer

6 wanted.

7 MS. FRANKLIN: Can't hear you.

8 MR. BROWN: You need a microphone.

9 MR. CHRISTENSEN: We're not --

10 Sorry. We're not going to be sampling on

11 Parcel A. That is a no-further-action ROD.

12 The sampling is going to be done in accordance

13 with the California base closure requirement. And we

14 have a groundwater monitoring plan. And we have been

15 working with the regulators towards getting that out to

16 the RAB. That will be coming out in a draft in the next

17 few weeks.

18 MR. BROOKS: And it's important to say that at

19 that time, both the regulators and the RAB will have an

20 opportunity to review this thing from front cover to

21 back cover, which has not -- no one -- you know,

22 regulators or RAB have not had the opportunity to do

23 that yet.

24 MR. CHRISTENSEN: It's been quite an effort to

25 get all of the data looked at and get the plan in shape.

1 But it will be coming out shortly.

2 And this quarterly sampling is the Navy
3 initiative. It's not a mandated program. The
4 advantages of quarterly sampling, we are going to be
5 looking at the same wells, the same 230 wells that we
6 have selected every three months. So it's going to give
7 us some good background information on the seasonal
8 effects and seasonal variations, namely with water
9 levels and concentrations.

10 And we're going to monitor contamination over
11 time, of course, focusing our efforts on areas where we
12 have detected contaminants in the past.

13 By tracking these over time, we are going to
14 look at the movement of contamination, contamin- --
15 contaminants -- excuse me -- in groundwater away from
16 the known source areas, whether they are these
17 groundwater plumes are expanding or shrinking and
18 whether the concentrations increase or decrease over
19 time. And this -- all this data is going to be
20 evaluated for some cleanup technologies in the future.

21 Here is just some numbers that we throw out.
22 Parcel B there's 35 wells, and those are the same wells
23 that have been monitored in the past. That 35 does
24 include three new wells which will be installed early
25 next year.

1 Parcel C, that parcel has the most groundwater
2 contamination; and therefore, it has the most wells,
3 89 wells on Parcel C. Parcel D we have 24 wells, and
4 Parcel E again there's quite a bit of groundwater known
5 contamination. We have 81 wells to sample out at
6 Parcel E.

7 The total is 229, I said, approximately,
8 quarterly; and here's the groundwater level
9 measurements, and those are 326 across the whole base.
10 And what we are going with when we gather the
11 groundwater level data, we are going to be creating
12 quarterly maps which show us the groundwater flow
13 direction across the base.

14 Parcel B has an existing RAMP, I mentioned, and
15 will -- the Navy continues to meet those commitments.

16 There's those three additional wells to be
17 installed out at Parcel B at Site 07, and that was due
18 to previous excavation in those a- -- in that area.

19 This is a map of Parcel B, and this shows the
20 wells that we have out there. A little hard to see. We
21 have three new wells going in here. Those are in a star
22 symbol there. And those are due to a previous
23 excavation done in that location.

24 We also have a TCE plume, and it's sort of in a
25 heart shape there in the middle of the parcel. We have

1 known contamination which we are tracking at this point.

2 We have installed some new wells, I think 6 new wells,
3 in that area for further delineation.

4 MS. ASHER: Is that Building 123?

5 MS. ATTENDEE: Yeah.

6 MR. BROOKS: Yeah, that's right.

7 MR. CHRISTENSEN: Building 123.

8 MS. ASHER: Okay. Thank you.

9 MR. CHRISTENSEN: Parcel C, this is -- some
10 information on the well selection. We have four areas
11 where we have a solvent contamination.

12 The first areas is near Buildings 231 and 253,
13 and we have 20 wells which we're going to be looking at
14 in that area. We have 21 wells to sample near
15 Buildings 251 and 258, 16 wells near Buildings 272 and
16 281, and 18 wells near Building 134. This is also an
17 area where we are going to be doing a treatability study
18 early next year.

19 We are -- We have sumps and dip tanks that
20 were used in Buildings 134, and we are going to be
21 removing those and implementing a treatability study in
22 the not-too-distant future.

23 There's also -- Other than those four areas,
24 there's -- there's areas where we have isolated
25 contamination that's not due to -- or it's not

1 associated, it seems, with those plumes; and we have 11
2 other wells in that -- in the Parcel C area to sample.

3 Those -- All these wells are going to be
4 sampling for chlorinated solvents, in some cases other
5 organics, metals, and PCBs.

6 This gives you a look at Parcel C. We have
7 got -- These shaded areas are the ground -- known
8 groundwater contamination on this parcel. And we kind
9 of have four lo- -- areas: 1, 2, 3 and 4. And this is
10 where the treatability study is going to be early next
11 year. And what we have -- We are looking at wells on
12 the boundaries as well as the known source areas for the
13 testing.

14 Okay. Parcel D. We have two sites and a few
15 other locations. Site 09 is a chromium plume, and we
16 have 13 wells to monitor a little bit of TCE in that
17 plume as well that we are going to be testing for.

18 Site 71 is a chlorinated solvent plume. We
19 have seven wells we are going to be monitoring for TCE,
20 and other locations we have an additional four wells.
21 We are going to be looking for metals.

22 These are a couple of the locations here,
23 Site 09 and 71, and these [sic] purple outline is the
24 outline of the known contamination from the 2002 study.
25 And the red dots are A-aquifer wells; and we are going

1 to be looking as well in the B zone, which is the blue
2 monitoring wells. So the large dots are the ones we are
3 going to be sampling. These other smaller dots exist
4 out there, although we are not looking at those wells at
5 this time.

6 Let's just go back to that quickly. We also
7 have three new wells in IR 09 that we are going to be
8 installing for further delineation.

9 This is Parcel E. We also have a quite a bit
10 of contamination out at Parcel E. We are already
11 monitoring for solvents, metals, organics, and PCBs.
12 Parcel E does contain the landfill, and we are going to
13 be looking for specific contaminants around the boundary
14 of the landfill as well as in the surrounding areas.

15 We have 21 wells we're going to be monitoring
16 around the perimeter of the landfill and 8 additional
17 wells in the surrounding area.

18 We also have this Site 03, which is the oil
19 reclamation ponds area, and we have nine wells we are
20 going to be monitoring in that area. That area is
21 slated for a treatability study next year, and there's
22 going to be quite a bit more investigation planned for
23 that Site 03.

24 Also have 41 other wells in Parcel E that we
25 consider those other areas not to be associated with

1 Site 03 or the landfill.

2 And here's a map of Parcel E. Obviously, the
3 landfill up here. This is a benzene contamination.
4 It's relatively low concentration spread out over a
5 large area. And the red dots are A-aquifer wells, and
6 the B wells here are blue dots. So there's quite a few
7 more A's than B's, but . . .

8 These would be the other areas which would be
9 in the surrounding landfill area and Site 03 down here
10 with the oil ponds.

11 This is also another plume on the boundary of
12 Parcels E and D, and that's Site 71. It is a organic
13 contaminant plume.

14 Next steps. We are going to be collecting for
15 four quarters in 2004 of approximately 230 wells.
16 That -- This monitor program will be evaluated
17 annually, and many wells which we are looking at now may
18 not be in next year's program. It depends on what
19 the -- what the data shows us. Other wells that we
20 haven't looked at we may look at next year. So we
21 evaluate the whole program annually.

22 We have quarterly data submittals with
23 groundwater elevation maps, and an annual report then
24 comes out, and we have recommendations in that report
25 for -- for the following year.

1 And we are going to evaluate where groundwater
2 will be cleaned up, and data will give us more options
3 on what some of the cleanup technologies will be used.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. We have questions
5 here, and we'll start with --

6 MR. TOMPKINS: Questions.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- Mr. Tompkins.
8 Mr. Campbell, did you have one? Miss Asher.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me. I had my hand up for
10 a while. Please recognize.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: So why don't we just travel
12 around the table this way, then.

13 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Will that be all right? And
15 we'll end up with Mr. Da Costa if that's okay.

16 MR. TOMPKINS: I don't care. I -- Couple
17 questions.

18 In terms of your screening materials on
19 contamination, in previous testimony given here for the
20 RAB a couple years back from former employees, in
21 Parcel C, there were radiation spills and that form of
22 practice that the Navy would dump radioactive material
23 down the sewage lines.

24 Have you screened --? In your screen for
25 materials, have you screened also for radioactive

1 contamination possibility in groundwater?

2 MR. FORMAN: That's more -- Ray, that's more
3 of an HRA question than a Glenn Christensen question,
4 and as Laurie --

5 MR. TOMPKINS: How does this deal with a
6 possible water contamination?

7 MR. FORMAN: Right. But that's -- you're
8 referring to radioisotopes, and that's discussed in
9 the -- the new draft final HRA.

10 The sewer systems are discussed and the
11 impacted areas in the infrastructure, the utility
12 system's infrastructure. That -- that will be discussed
13 in the draft final HRA.

14 MR. TOMPKINS: Question.

15 MR. FORMAN: Okay.

16 MR. TOMPKINS: Then is it a possibility that it
17 may be contaminated in the groundwater --

18 MS. SUMCHAI: Yes.

19 MR. TOMPKINS: -- or has that been looked at?

20 MS. SUMCHAI: Yeah. There are maps.

21 MR. TOMPKINS: Okay.

22 MR. BROOKS: We have looked at groundwater data
23 gaps investigations, Ray, and in the -- in the --
24 reported in the Parcel E groundwater data gaps
25 investigation report, the last one. We talked about

1 kind of a basewide survey of radiological contaminants,
2 and the results were that we -- we didn't find any.

3 MR. TOMPKINS: Okay. Thank you.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Mr. Campbell?

5 MR. CAMPBELL: As far as groundwater
6 monitoring -- and this has for -- has some clarification
7 for the RAB -- number one, we keep hearing about a
8 transfer of potentially A prime and B prime, okay. We
9 want to understand as this body, what is considered A
10 prime and D [sic] prime?

11 I think a lot of the RAB members don't know;
12 and if it incorporates part of Parcel B, we want to know
13 what areas. And I think we have Bob Hocker over there
14 who can possibly answer part of that.

15 MR. BROWN: Bob is not -- he's not on the --

16 MR. FORMAN: Okay.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: But -- but we are limiting
18 our --

19 MR. FORMAN: My only objection to that,
20 Maurice --

21 MR. BROWN: Right.

22 MR. FORMAN: That may be a perfectly good
23 question. Just -- I don't even know what you are
24 talking about. I don't know what "A prime" is. There's
25 a A-aquifer and a B-aquifer.

1 MR. CAMPBELL: No. No. We are talking about
2 what is the prime as Parcel A? Concurrently, what's
3 happening within the city, the City's hoping to transfer
4 parcels -- parcel, and they are looking at transferring
5 part of "A," and they are considering transferring part
6 of "B." That's --

7 MR. FORMAN: I never heard of that.

8 MR. CAMPBELL: Really?

9 MR. FORMAN: No.

10 MR. CAMPBELL: That's --

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Campbell, I --

12 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me. Excuse me.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can -- can we -- can we -- ?

14 MR. CAMPBELL: We're -- we're the Restoration
15 Advisory Board, and we are supposed to understand. You
16 know, there's a five-year ROD review that we just went
17 through very, very clearly; and if one part of the
18 community understands one thing and the others. And
19 this aquifer groundwater monitor thing is important to
20 that, because we don't need to know where those monitors
21 are if land is about to be transferred.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: And I -- I understand your
23 point, and I'm asking you, Mr. Campbell, if you can keep
24 your question germane to the -- to this particular
25 presentation and not outside that scope. Your first

1 question was outside that scope. So if you can just
2 keep your question germane to this presentation, please.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, I guess my question
4 will be posed in this manner. We are expecting that if
5 there's any transfer of any property fro- -- with a
6 FOST, it will only be Parcel A -- there will be no parts
7 of Parcel B. I'd like that in the record if I could --
8 and that your groundwatering will only cover Parcel A.
9 It will not incorporate any other areas of, say, "B."
10 "B" is going to -- going to be studied, correct?

11 And then you're going to come back with a
12 report. And that report will feed into the -- to the
13 regulators of what you're finding on B; is that correct?

14 MR. FORMAN: Maurice, it's hard to follow you
15 the way you're phrasing questions here.

16 This is the basewide groundwater program. It
17 covers Parcels B through E.

18 MR. CAMPBELL: Right.

19 MR. FORMAN: Okay.

20 MR. CAMPBELL: That's correct.

21 MR. FORMAN: Yes.

22 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

23 MS. ASHER: Okay. This might be outside the
24 scope of the presentation as well.

25 But in determining the quality of the

1 groundwater, aren't you also determining what type of
2 development is going to be happening on those -- on
3 those areas? Is that not correct?

4 And in terms of categories of groundwater,
5 could you please explain to people here, who might not
6 be familiar with that, the different categories of
7 groundwater and what they are appropriate to, like
8 residential or light industrial and what that means,
9 because after you do this investigation, you will make a
10 recommendation as to what is appropriate for these
11 parcels. Is that not correct?

12 MR. FORMAN: No. It's -- it's not a site
13 investiga- -- it's not a site assessment, which is a
14 RCRA term, or a site investigation, which is a CERCLA
15 term. It's -- it's a monitoring program.

16 The data that we use from the groundwater
17 monitoring helps us delineate plumes, groundwater
18 plumes. It helps us tell us what chemicals of concern
19 remain there and what the concentrations are. And that,
20 coupled with the other data that we gather, helps us
21 generate a groundwater map that tells you what's there
22 and the groundwater direction flow.

23 From that you can determine the shape and size
24 and characteristics of your sites, and that gives you a
25 better idea of how to go about environmental cleanup and

1 anything else that needs to be done.

2 MS. ASHER: Okay. So we'll frame it like that.

3 Okay. Thank you.

4 MR. FORMAN: Sure.

5 MS. MENACK: I think I can address that
6 somewhat. I'm Julie Menack from the Water Board. I'm a
7 hydrogeologist, one of the regulators that will be
8 reviewing the monitoring plan, but just wanted to -- I
9 want to say some things about the -- about how we have
10 been working with the Navy to develop this plan over the
11 past year.

12 We haven't had a chance to review it. So I
13 really can't comment on the details at this point.

14 But I want to just get back to your question
15 where you're asking a question about will the type of
16 groundwater dictate development for the site.

17 At this site, groundwater is not really
18 potable. It's not -- It cannot be really used for
19 drinking water. We have made a determination that the
20 A-aquifer has -- is basically not usable for drinking
21 water for a couple of reasons.

22 One, it's too saline in a lot of places.
23 There's a lot of -- too much tidal influence from the
24 bay.

25 Number 2, the groundwater flow rate does not

1 meet our criteria to allow it to be used for drinking
2 water in a lot of cases.

3 So really, on this site -- and it's different,
4 because on other cleanup sites, you're right, there --
5 you would be looking at, you know, determining could
6 this be used for residential, could the water be, you
7 know, pumped out of the ground.

8 But generally, at sites that ring the bay, it's
9 not that much of a consideration, especially since water
10 does -- our drinking water does not come from the ground
11 around here.

12 And in this particular case, we have notation
13 of the quality of the water is very poor and can't
14 really -- right out of the ground. So it's really a non
15 issue at this site.

16 But the purpose of the groundwater monitoring
17 program is more to determine what should be granted.
18 Our concerns for cleanup, if you eliminate the drinking
19 water aspect of it, are st- -- there are still -- our
20 concerns are the impacts to waters of the state.

21 And groundwater can move into the bay. There
22 are locations where there might be impacted groundwater
23 that might be in -- connected to the bay. That would be
24 generally very close to the bay on the site. It may not
25 be in some of the higher-up areas.

1 So maybe we'll, you know, do a presentation on
2 this whole topic at some time later and discuss that
3 with the Navy a little bit. But do you guys have
4 anything to add?

5 But I think that was what she was asking about.

6 MR. BROOKS: The groundwater monitoring plan
7 doesn't really affect the development. So I think
8 that's going to sum it up.

9 MS. MENACK: Basically. It's not really a
10 development issue.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

12 MS. MENACK: There might be soil issues that
13 might affect development, but groundwater's kind of
14 an --

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Thank you. Going
16 around the table, did anybody else here have questions?

17 MR. BROWN: I had one question.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Lynne had a question and then
19 we'll continue on.

20 MR. BROWN: Yes. I like to say the depth o- --
21 the depth over in Parcel B in IR-18 and IR-07 is not
22 that deep over here.

23 So, like, in 1998 when we had El Nino, it
24 rained all the way down, and the water was -- I mean,
25 there was water everywhere over there.

1 So how would that -- how --? What can you do
2 when people are over here to prevent that? You know,
3 because El Nino will be back again. So what will we do
4 then? Thank you.

5 MR. FORMAN: Wow, that's -- okay.

6 MR. BROWN: That's a question.

7 MR. FORMAN: Yeah, that's -- that's a good
8 question. I'm not -- I'm not a developer, not even
9 close.

10 Well, I think what you're talking about is the
11 ponding that probably occurred because 07 and 18 after
12 it was excavated is left as kind of a naked soil, you
13 see.

14 Since then it's been -- it's compacted down in
15 areas, but there's a lot of water that comes off.
16 Ponding will occur.

17 They will have to -- I'm guessing that -- that
18 anybody that develops all of that property in Parcel B
19 will have to take that into account, especially because
20 when you develop, you pave for surfaces; and when you
21 pave for surfaces, there's more surface runoff that
22 doesn't go into the ground but rather ponds and gathers,
23 and it creates a problem that's going to have to be
24 engineered.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

1 MR. FORMAN: But that is something that they
2 are going to have to do as they develop the base. They
3 are going to have to take that into account.

4 MS. MENACK: I have one more really quick
5 point. This has to do with groundwater issues affecting
6 development, and that has to do with human health. I
7 kind of forgot about that.

8 In situation where you have these organic
9 chemicals that were being discussed in terms of the
10 monitoring of chlorinated solvents, if the
11 concentrations of chlorinated solvents are high in
12 groundwater, there would be a concern for building on
13 top of them.

14 And I -- the Navy is very concerned about that,
15 I believe, and, you know, looking at obviously that
16 would limit, for example, putting a residential house on
17 top of certain concentrations of chlorinated solvents.
18 And that's why they're going after and trying to clean
19 these sites up so that that would not -- that could not
20 occur. So groundwater could affect development in that
21 way as well.

22 MS. ASHER: Thank you.

23 MS. SUMCHAI: I think I can talk about --

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Just one moment. We have a
25 question here first.

1 MS. LAULU: Okay. Getting the -- let's see.
2 On -- on this -- on this page here where it has 20 and
3 40 feet thickness and then along the -- with the
4 hydrotechnology of the -- you know, where it just --
5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yeah.
6 MR. FORMAN: Do you want to see that again?
7 MS. LAULU: Yeah.
8 MR. FORMAN: Okay.
9 MS. PENDERGRASS: What was your question?
10 MS. LAULU: Okay. Given -- given all these
11 figures, do you have an estimated time as to how long it
12 would take to clean up?
13 MR. FORMAN: To clean up --
14 MS. LAULU: To clean up the area that are
15 affected.
16 MR. FORMAN: Oops. To clean up Parcel E?
17 Because this is -- this is only Parcel E.
18 MS. LAULU: Right, to clean up Parcel E.
19 MR. FORMAN: I believe we are looking -- the
20 latest time line on Parcel E being finished was 2010,
21 yes.
22 MS. SUMCHAI: I -- I just wanted to raise one
23 issue that is brought up in the Parcel A ROD by the
24 Department of Public Health with regard to groundwater
25 monitoring for contaminants and a potential for

1 accessibility by the wells. There was repeated emphasis
2 on -- you know, on -- on what to do with the wells.

3 And, you know, from the standpoint of what a
4 child can access, what a pet, you know, can access, you
5 know, I think that the -- the fact that there are open
6 wells that are conduits to groundwater that has
7 significant contaminants in it is very, very important.

8 And I -- I was also impressed in review of the
9 Parcel A ROD and just using this as analogy with all of
10 the other parcels that the contamination by petroleum
11 products was frequently not focused on because there
12 were some clauses or some legal exclusions that required
13 or -- or that allowed petroleum products to remain as
14 contaminants without having to be addressed. Is -- is
15 that -- is that still -- is that still an issue?

16 MR. BROOKS: Let me -- let me try and get those
17 one at a time. And first of all, I think you talked
18 about the wells that are on Parcel A and maybe the wells
19 that are on the other par- --

20 MS. SUMCHAI: Yes.

21 MR. BROOKS: -- -cels as well.

22 The wells that were existing on Parcel A, we
23 had a contractor go out and overdrill those and fill
24 those up with cement grout. So those are no longer in
25 existence on Parcel A.

1 On the other parcels, you know, like Glenn
2 said, we have 500 monitoring wells on -- on the
3 Shipyard.

4 The monitoring wells, they come in two styles.
5 Either they are -- they're flush mounted with the
6 ground, the concrete pad and a bolted-down cover and
7 then a locked cap to get into that well. So they are
8 pretty secure.

9 The other type are a stand-up type, usually 6
10 to 8 inches in diameter, and those are locked from the
11 outside and then locked again on the inside. So all the
12 wells are secure.

13 And as far as the petroleum contamination,
14 that's not listed as a CERCLA contaminant, so we --
15 we -- we -- we still monitor it, but they are in
16 different programs. They are in what we call the -- the
17 Petroleum Program, and which -- yeah, I know. We worked
18 hard on that.

19 Jose Payne, he's the project manager for the
20 Petroleum Program. And so if you'd like -- if you'd
21 like a presentation sometime just on the petroleum
22 contamination or maybe like we did tonight, a tandem
23 presentation on the CERCLA contaminants and the
24 non-CERCLA contaminants, we can do that. But we're
25 tracking both. We are tracking both of them.

1 MS. SUMCHAI: But what is the standard for
2 cleanup of the petroleum product? How is it --?

3 MR. BROOKS: Well, you know, each constituent
4 has its own -- its own different criteria, and I -- I
5 just suggest that perhaps we either address that in a
6 Technical Subcommittee or another -- another forum like
7 tonight in front of the full RAB. But we could do that.

8 MR. FORMAN: Yeah. That might be a good
9 suggestion. We have -- We don't talk -- Because we
10 have such limited time, we don't talk about the
11 non-CERCLA side of the program and everything that we
12 are doing and everything the regulators -- in
13 particular, the Water Board -- has to do with these
14 non-CERCLA programs. In this case, we would probably
15 need a good subcommittee meeting to go over that.

16 There's a whole separate document. The CERCLA
17 Record of Decision handles CERCLA. On each parcel, we
18 will have a corrective action plan, a CAP. So the
19 Parcel B, C, D, and E CAP is where we address the
20 petroleum problems and how -- and how -- what level we
21 are going to clean up to and things like that.

22 And we probably should find time to do that.
23 We -- we just need the proper time to do that, and it
24 might be a great idea for a Technical Subcommittee
25 meeting in the future --

1 MR. BROWN: Right.

2 MR. FORMAN: -- to just address the Petroleum
3 Program, because it's a -- it's a large one and a
4 complex one and --

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Let's -- let's --

6 MR. FORMAN: -- spent a quite a bit of time on
7 it.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: I don't want to cut you off,
9 but I want to get to the last questions --

10 MR. FORMAN: Sure.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- about the presentation.
12 Mr. Da Costa has one at this point, at this moment.

13 MR. DA COSTA: Yeah. What I want to say is, at
14 one time, there were two hills over there that were
15 crushed. And in crushing the hills and then using it in
16 the landfill, a lot of magnesium and serpentine rock was
17 crushed. We have to keep this in mind.

18 A lot of the chemicals and the radiological
19 waste that have sit into the groundwater cannot be
20 relegated just to Parcel B, C, D, you know. A lot of it
21 is under Parcel A.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: What's the question, Mr. Da
23 Costa?

24 MR. DA COSTA: My question is coming.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

1 MR. DA COSTA: And therefore, my question is
2 that unlike what you have heard today from some experts,
3 the community is concerned about quality-of-life
4 standards, whether they are underground or overground.
5 In -- in this techno- -- technological age, they
6 interact. And therefore, any contamination, whether
7 it's underground or overground, affects the whole area.

8 So my question is -- this is just an
9 experiment, you know. It hasn't been done in a
10 scientific manner in too many spaces.

11 My question is: What standards are you going
12 to be using -- scientific standards are you going to be
13 using to bring back to the RAB?

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

15 MR. CHRISTENSEN: Scientific standards.

16 MR. FORMAN: Wow.

17 MR. CHRISTENSEN: We have --

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can you speak up,
19 Mr. Christensen?

20 MR. DA COSTA: What I'm concerned is, I don't
21 like -- pictures are nice. Descriptions are nice. But
22 what I'm concerned about is imperative -- empirical
23 data.

24 MR. CHRISTENSEN: Okay.

25 MR. DA COSTA: I am concerned about that.

1 MR. FORMAN: Yeah. Well, another good topic
2 and something that I could probably talk on until you
3 all fell asleep, but I won't do that.

4 The process -- the process -- The way it works
5 is: There are many, many requirements on many levels,
6 as you know, federal, state, and sometimes local or
7 regional. And collectively these are known as
8 Applicable Relevant and Appropriate Requirements,
9 A-R-A-R-s, ARARs.

10 And ARARs are -- are tracked. And the part --
11 One of the many functions of the regulators are to
12 ensure that the party involved -- in this case, the
13 Navy -- has to apply those ARARs and apply them
14 correctly, depending on what the ARAR or the requirement
15 is. So there's a huge process that -- that goes into
16 that.

17 And the documents to look at for that is the
18 RIs, the remedial investigations, the feasibility
19 studies, the FS's, and then everything that follows on
20 from that, like a proposed plan and the Records of
21 Decision.

22 But it's a -- the standards that are applied
23 are those that are promulgated. In other words, there
24 are regulations; there are laws; they are announced;
25 they are on the books. And those are the ones that

1 are -- are looked at in what they call the ARARs part of
2 our CERCLA documents. And that's what the Navy is held
3 to.

4 And just to make sure that everything goes the
5 way it should, you have -- regulatory agencies, each
6 with their own different perspective and each with their
7 own part of those ARARs that they either are the
8 enforcer of or the originator of, in some sense, play a
9 role in ensuring that ARARs are then -- you clean up to
10 ARARs using ARARs, and then -- and then you measure to
11 those standards to ensure that you're proper and
12 successful in doing that. So . . .

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: So the answer to the question
14 is that yes, there is empirical standards that are part
15 of the record.

16 MR. DA COSTA: No, no.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: That's what -- that's what --

18 MR. DA COSTA: No.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- he just said.

20 MR. DA COSTA: The empirical data is one thing,
21 okay?

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Their standards --

23 MR. DA COSTA: And -- and standards are
24 different.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Right.

1 MR. DA COSTA: So what Mr. Forman tried to
2 explain in his explanation is that the Navy has some
3 standards, but he'll -- those standards will have to be
4 held up to other standards by the regulatory agencies.
5 And -- and we, the community, will be monitoring that.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Thank you.

7 Did we have one more question here? Yes.

8 MS. SANTANA: Yeah. My question has to do with
9 your study and your flows, your flows of water and, of
10 course, the flow of contaminants.

11 The couple of questions that I had in there,
12 one thing, for -- for example, the A-aquifer, because it
13 contains particles in size all the way from clay in
14 smallest to boulders which are the largest, it might be
15 considered that there's a fair amount of space for
16 movement in there since you have some pretty big
17 particles in there as well.

18 And the other thing is that since you've got a
19 tremendous amount of -- of movement because of the ebb
20 and flow of tides, I'm just -- I'm just wondering
21 whether you are taking sufficient account from the --
22 to -- to the -- the possibility that movements are going
23 to be kind of back and forth, maybe even all over the
24 place and not in just one direction, as well as, of
25 course, a cumulative or interactive effects of the

1 various contaminants that you're looking at.

2 MR. BROOKS: I guess in the Parcel -- E --

3 Was it the "E" groundwater data gaps report
4 where we reported on the tidal studies, do you recall,
5 Julie?

6 MS. MENACK: Yeah.

7 MR. BROOKS: It's "E." Okay.

8 We did -- we did tidal studies. We monitor the
9 rise and fall of the tide, and then we also monitor the
10 rise and fall of water levels in the wells.

11 So if the rise and fall of the water level in
12 the wells is matching the tide, excuse me, then we say
13 that -- we say that these wells have a high tidal
14 efficiency. And so in that way, we are able to monitor
15 where the tide has the greatest effect in the A-aquifer.

16 And there's some places in the A-aquifer for
17 the other part of your question where if you do have
18 these large boulder-size particles, then you do have the
19 opportunity for a lot of flow. Now, if you mix the
20 boulders in with a lot of clay and sand and stuff; and
21 it's maybe counterintuitive, but in that case, it's
22 really very poor water-producing unit, and the
23 permeability is -- is usually not that good.

24 But in some cases, like there's a spot over on
25 Parcel C by Building 231 where there's a lot of just

1 plain old boulder fill, and we have real high tidal
2 efficiency out there. And so that's something that we
3 recognize. That's something that we monitor. And
4 that's something that we account for when we help design
5 our -- our remedial -- our cleanup technologies.

6 MS. SANTANA: I was thinking that because the
7 B-aquifer, sand, silt, and clay, is said to be
8 permeable. If your A-aquifer has sand, silt, clay, and
9 boulders, it should be probably most permeable as well.

10 MR. BROOKS: Yeah, and you'd think that, but
11 really it's -- it goes counterintuitive. If you get an
12 aquifer composed of all different particle sizes,
13 generally the permeability is not that good. You would
14 like to have an aquifer that has kind of uniform but
15 larger particle sizes that gives you good water produce.

16 Like, if you have a nice aquifer of even fine
17 sand, a nice aquifer of fine sand is good. If you get
18 an aquifer of fine sand, coarse sand, and clay, it can
19 be not worth a nickel.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Thank you for
21 that. And we get to close that presentation. We have
22 just one more thing to do.

23 Do we have any other questions from the
24 audience at this point? Because we didn't -- we didn't
25 take any of those at this point.

1 All right. Thank you. Thank you.

2 (Applause.)

3 MR. BROOKS: Mick Jagger.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Now, we have five
5 minutes left before we need to conclude our meeting. So
6 we are going to just do one thing, since this is our --
7 our last meeting of 2000 and -- what is this? -- 2003.

8 So if -- Debra, can you take the easel pad with
9 a pen and if we want to make sure we get agenda items or
10 topics that we need to discuss on the agenda for next
11 year, we need to do that. And I understand that
12 Mr. Brown has a closing announcement he'd like to make
13 as well as Mr. Tisdell, or vice versa.

14 MR. BROWN: Let Keith go first.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

16 So Mr. Tisdell, before we start, would you like
17 to make a closing remark?

18 MR. TISDELL: Yeah. The information fair that
19 the Navy gave, it was very informative, and I like to
20 thank them for myself 'cause a lot of things was
21 explained to me from different -- from different parcels
22 on how it went. But if you missed it, you missed a good
23 one.

24 (Applause.)

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. We already had a

1 couple things that I'm sure Mr. Keichline has already
2 got in his notes in terms of agenda items, groundwater,
3 I think those kinds of things that we just talked about.

4 Any other topics that we need to add to the
5 agenda for next -- starting the upcoming year?

6 Mr. Campbell?

7 MR. CAMPBELL: As the CAC representative and
8 the RAB representative --

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes, sir.

10 MR. CAMPBELL: -- I would like to get
11 clarification on what A 1 B 1 prime -- what
12 Redevelopment defines it as, what the Navy think of it
13 as, so the community can be clear, the Navy can be
14 clear, and the RAB members can be clear. Thank you.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So with -- with that,
16 who would you -- who would you -- who would you pinpoint
17 as the person that would be --?

18 MR. CAMPBELL: Don Capobres.

19 MS. ATTENDEE: Don.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So -- okay. So we
21 need a Redevelopment Agency presentation, and then you
22 could give him the parameters of what you want him to
23 talk about.

24 MR. CAMPBELL: Well, I -- I think it's a formal
25 request from the RAB, since it affects the community.

1 MS. BROWNELL: I will make sure he gets it.

2 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Very good. Thank you.

4 All right.

5 MR. KEICHLINE: Will that be a action item or a
6 presentation topic?

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: What we'd like -- what we're
8 doing now is -- is listing what we'd like as
9 presentations in areas that we discuss so that they are
10 not crammed into just a question with no answer, okay?

11 All right. Anything --? Any --

12 Okay. Miss Franklin?

13 MS. FRANKLIN: Yeah. I want to say that --

14 MR. MASON: Give her the mike. Give her the
15 mike.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: There's a mike right there.

17 MS. FRANKLIN: I want to say, it seems to me
18 that our work is not complete. I think we need to --
19 Once we -- drilling all those wells and evaluating
20 monitoring, et cetera, I think we need to complete, you
21 know, what we are doing. When those monitoring sessions
22 are over, we should be able to evaluate or call someone
23 in to evaluate to find out what is feasible for that
24 particular land, because we don't know where we going,
25 you know, what we have done.

1 So I think we need -- when we leave -- the RAB
2 leaves, I want us to be advising whoever is going to
3 develop that land on what to build and what not to
4 build, and I think that we will feel more worthy of what
5 we are doing here.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: So what is your suggestion,
7 Miss Franklin?

8 MS. FRANKLIN: I'm suggesting that, for
9 instance, on Parcel E where that benzene is, we need to
10 know how -- we need to really explore how long it's
11 going to take to, you know, breed that out, how much
12 time we will need to clear the area before there's
13 habitation there or new development or whatever.

14 But the thing is, we need to say, okay, whether
15 or not we should build a grocery store there. We need
16 to have a final say, because I've heard Keith say, "No,
17 we are not going to say what to tell them." But this
18 lady over here say, "Yeah, we need to say what to buy."
19 That's not clear to me.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. I -- I hear what you
21 just said. But what I'm asking you right now is: What
22 do you -- what do you --? What would you call that type
23 of discussion?

24 MS. FRANKLIN: I w- --

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: What would the -- what would

1 the RAB be discussing?

2 MS. FRANKLIN: The RAB would continue -- would
3 conclude its business, bring closure to each discovery,
4 each monitoring process, everything from A to Z. We
5 need to know so we can report it to whomever is
6 interested in the property. We need to have that
7 before -- When they do the EIR, our report, you know --

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

9 MS. FRANKLIN: -- should be there in order to
10 produce a legal document from the findings that we are
11 doing here.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Maybe Mr. Forman can answer
13 that.

14 MR. FORMAN: Yeah. I just -- Marie, I think
15 we all agree with you on that. And -- and hopefully in
16 the coming months, we can educate you on what the
17 process is. There's a process in place.

18 And the answer to those questions is -- well,
19 first of all, what -- where will you see the data and
20 evaluate the data? There will be quarterly -- there's
21 quarterly monitoring with quarterly reports that will
22 come out. Every year there will be an annual report
23 that compiles all that information and gives
24 recommendations to the regulators and to the community
25 about what to do next.

1 When it comes to cleaning up, that will be --
2 that is explored -- the alternatives are explored in
3 these things called feasibility studies, and we will
4 teach you more about the process in those documents.

5 And those feasibility studies are where you
6 analyze each of the cleanups and take everything --
7 different factors into account, and we'll show you more
8 about those as they come out. And that's really your
9 opportunity then to comment and to be part of the
10 process.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Well, so -- and so let me ask
12 you a question now, Mr. Forman. Can --? Is there a way
13 to have you all give a presentation on what these actual
14 steps are and when the RAB will weigh in on what parts
15 of that? Because it seems like there's a different one
16 for each site of information.

17 MR. FORMAN: Yeah. Again -- okay. Well, we
18 can -- we can give a class in -- in something like
19 CERCLA 101, the -- the basics of what the documents are
20 and what the process is. We can do that.

21 I think that's probably better. Because it's
22 more of an interactive almost classroom atmosphere, it
23 would be better done in subcommittee. But I'm willing
24 to do that at any time, to -- to go into that.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Miss Franklin feels

1 like --

2 MS. FRANKLIN: That's not what I'm saying. I'm
3 not interested in doing 101 exploratory explanation.

4 What I'm saying is that the RAB to me is not
5 effective in -- in producing a document -- document on
6 the end of its work. That is not only for me -- I don't
7 need to learn a process -- but for everybody, to become
8 a public document that's registered with the
9 Redevelopment Agency or whoever and that can direct the
10 public of what and what not to develop on the land.

11 You see, that is not being done. If it was,
12 then we would know what we're going to do with "E." And
13 this lady -- you know, I'm sorry. I didn't get her
14 name. She would be able to say, what's going to happen?
15 Can we build a school on Parcel E where the benzene is,
16 for example, once it's been excavated out of there,
17 however you plan to take it out? We need to know a
18 final decision as to what is -- can and cannot be done
19 with the land.

20 MR. FORMAN: Okay. All right. And --

21 MS. FRANKLIN: Not me personally. Everybody.

22 MR. FORMAN: I understand. And there's a
23 couple different documents that will allow you to do
24 that.

25 One of them is -- There's -- Every local

1 reuse authority has a redevelopment plan, and this
2 community has a redevelopment plan as well.

3 So you have to read the redevelopment plan, and
4 that lays out for you what the -- what the government's
5 plan, the city government and the local reuse
6 authority's plan, for redevelopment is.

7 The other document that you'll look at at the
8 very end of the process that you're talking about is
9 called a Finding of Suitability to Transfer, an F-O-S-T,
10 a FOST, document. And that comes out one parcel at a
11 time for the public, and -- and it explains it all.

12 And it -- between those two documents, the FOST
13 from the Navy and the Redevelopment Agency's
14 redevelopment plan, those are the two things that come
15 together that really tie up the loose ends.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. We have a couple
17 other things, and then we are -- we're going to
18 conclude.

19 Is there anybody else after --? We have
20 Mr. Tompkins and then Miss Pierce and if anybody else
21 wanted to add anything to agenda items. All right.

22 MR. TOMPKINS: I have a question for -- okay.
23 First, topics I'd like to have discussed and follow-up
24 for next year as well, one, I like -- one, first
25 reinforce the importance of Mr. Campbell's statement of

1 a common operational definition between the City and the
2 federal government in terms of language and linguistics.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. We have that up there.

4 MR. TOMPKINS: As an action item so then they
5 have a common vocabulary in terms of same things between
6 both parties --

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

8 MR. TOMPKINS: -- because for the example I'd
9 like to have excluded from presentation in the future,
10 I'd like straight talk in terms of presentation and
11 reports.

12 An example what I'm talking about is when we
13 had the methane gas and the failure in the barrier,
14 instead it was caused -- talked about in communication
15 as an adjective to describe the penetration of the
16 barrier, the failure of the barrier. That's
17 inappropriate. We are playing with semantics, and it
18 added confusion. Gas doesn't talk.

19 Secondly, I'd like a full and complete
20 explanation of it and a resolution.

21 And also, what about the sources and -- of the
22 methane gas being produced and removal action on that
23 item --

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So --

25 MR. TOMPKINS: -- as an item for next --

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So Dr. Tompkins --
2 MR. TOMPKINS: -- for the following year?
3 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- I -- I hear what you're
4 saying.
5 MR. TOMPKINS: Two issues.
6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So there's two issues
7 on the table.
8 What we're talking about right now, though, and
9 what Mr. Campbell had added to the -- to the table here
10 is that we are trying to get some agenda items that you
11 all want to talk about that get presentations around,
12 because an action item means that someone goes out,
13 finds out the information, and comes back and reports
14 it. But if it's a presentation, then someone gets
15 together, presents that, and then there's a open
16 discussion in learning about that information.
17 MR. TOMPKINS: Okay.
18 MS. PENDERGRASS: So I think we have
19 underscored what Mr. Campbell said about the definitions
20 between what the Navy's talking about and what
21 Redevelopment's talking about.
22 Now, the methane gas issue is -- in terms of
23 resolution of that particular process or --
24 MR. TOMPKINS: Process --
25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Is that what you're talking.

1 About?

2 MR. TOMPKINS: -- as well, yes.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. No. That should

4 happen -- That -- that discussion should be happening

5 in a subcommittee.

6 MR. FORMAN: Yes.

7 MR. TOMPKINS: I'm asking for an add -- an item

8 so it's placed up for next year one of the issues.

9 Third issue, which was also mentioned in

10 presentation which actually falls in the Economics

11 Committee, is that we are having all this money being

12 spent, and I'm -- hear verbalization about impact of the

13 community.

14 I'd like to see some hard figures in how many

15 people are being employed and at what levels and

16 ethnicity and gender.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: So there -- there would be an

18 economic development or an economic kind of presentation

19 on what this all means, the work means. Does that kind

20 of sum it up for you?

21 MR. TOMPKINS: Yes, ma'am.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

23 Did you get that -- that? Economic --

24 Presentation on the economics of the money spent toward

25 the cleanup.

1 MR. TOMPKINS: No, no. I had very definitive
2 categories --

3 MR. BROWN: The environment.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

5 MR. TOMPKINS: -- of what -- in terms of gender
6 and ethnicity and at what level people are being
7 employed at.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. You can -- you can
9 direct that, and we'll get to that point.

10 Miss Pierce?

11 MS. PIERCE: I -- I'm not going to restate what
12 Marie has demanded, because I may not be saying the same
13 thing.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

15 MS. PIERCE: But I believe that I'm expanding
16 on -- on what she's asking for, and that is that we need
17 to have a full discussion of exactly what Keith
18 described, because Prop P was addressing exactly that.

19 The plan that Redevelopment develops should not
20 be the driver in how this is cleaned up. It should be
21 cleaned up to a standard that is acceptable to the
22 community whether or not Redevelopment has ideas about
23 what's going to be used. So I want to put that on the
24 agenda for a full discussion.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Very good. So a

1 full -- full discussion about usage --

2 MS. PIERCE: Which comes first.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- right?

4 MS. PIERCE: Yeah, about cleanup levels --

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Cleanup levels. Cleanup

6 levels and usage.

7 MS. PIERCE: -- and what determines the cleanup

8 level.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Right. Okay.

10 MS. PIERCE: Okay.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Cleanup levels

12 and their determination. Okay.

13 Final --

14 MS. RINES: I -- I got a question. It's going

15 to be a clarification about an agenda item 'cause these

16 are things that Don Capobres has got to bring up. He

17 was going to bring at the next meeting the term sheet

18 about the -- the SFPD. Now --

19 MR. BROWN: Seven thousand dollars.

20 MS. RINES: There's no way that we can get that

21 all of that done.

22 MR. BROWN: No.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, no, no, no.

24 MR. BROWN: That --

25 MS. PIERCE: This is -- this is over the year.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: This is over next year.

2 MS. RINES: That's all I wanted to know.

3 That's all I wanted to know.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can't get it all done at the

5 next meeting. Okay. Yeah, got it.

6 MR. BROWN: I got one thing. Just a bit.

7 For one thing, we want to know about the

8 manganese out there still. We going to have to fight

9 that with that one out there where Parcel C IR-28 where

10 it's real high and also the hundred thousand gallons of

11 petroleum that's out here at IR-18. There's another

12 thing we would like to investigate. Right? She knows.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

14 MR. TOMPKINS: Right. Manganese based on what,

15 though? On whose standards?

16 MR. BROWN: On a 35-year-old.

17 MR. TOMPKINS: Thirty-five-year-old white male

18 or African-American --

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Okay. Okay.

20 MS. PIERCE: I'm going to move to adjourn.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. We have a motion that

22 the meeting be adjourned, and I'm going to second it and

23 move it. So thank you for --

24 MR. TOMPKINS: Happy new year.

25 (Off record at 8:10 p.m., 12/4/03.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting was reported by me stenographically to the best of my ability at the time and place aforementioned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand
this _____ day of _____, ____.

CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, C.S.R. NO. 4569